tely fuland reat uery servulerall fay-

That then ther; asto

tting f his ering vards rings eue', phie; ut all Holy id difome

omons zekiah ficks : aucas Scriptures :

tures; as the brazen Serpent (which he destroyed about the same time) had done from Religion. None will beleeue, that Salomons Philosophie was contrary to the Scriptures; seeing the Scripture commends Salomon for them. Twas not Hezekiahs feare, therefore, (or not onely) lest there might have beene a competition betweene them, but a neglect of one of them: he was icalous lest the Scripture might have any writing set

vp by it, though not against it.

Can Divines, then, be blamed for speaking, when they heare Aristotles Philosophy to be solely magnified, and the study of the Scripture Philosophy, difrespected? Or that when tis confest, That such a thing is true in Divinity, and yet the Moderating of the point determine for Philosophie ? Nay, to heare it cald abfurd and ridiculous, to have Scripture vrg'd at all, in point of Philosophy? No doubt there is, But what soeuer is false in Divinity, is also false in Nature, how much show of truth soeuer it passes with, in Philosophy. Philosophy hath taken its turne in the Schooles: and the holy Texts by the Schoolemen, have even been submitted vnto Aristotles: yea, to the great corruption of Theologie, as the complaint is, hath this man been fo farre advanced, That Contra est Philosophus, & Contraest Apostolus; have familiarly passed vp and down, for equall oppositions: so that it hath been a measuring cast oftentimes, betwixt the Prophet and the Peripatetick: and by foule play hath the measure beene made to stand the harder at the Peripatetick, for that the Prophet hath beene enforced to comply with him by a wrested interpretation. Thus had S. Paul need give his caveat vnto Theologie, as well as vnto Theologues, Beware lest any man spoyle you through Philosophy. All