

11. The massive subsidies required to maintain the protectionist policy for the U. S. coasting trade have failed to prevent a steady decline in the U.S. coasting fleet.

It concludes:

The province of B.C. is therefore strongly opposed to intercoastal trade being restricted in any way from employing the services of a foreign-flag vessel if it is to the advantage of the shipper to do so. The intercoastal trade must be given permanent exemption from the restrictions proposed in Bill C-61 for other aspects of the domestic coasting trade.

There is just one additional thought I should like to add before concluding. There has been the argument that consultative efforts have been made, and have been made consistently. We think of the confrontations which have taken place between the federal and various provincial administrations. When there was supposed to be negotiation and consultation there was confrontation. I suggest the same argument could be made in respect of this particular matter. We have an amendment before us and a subamendment. While the subamendment goes to some extent in meeting the need, I do not believe it is adequate. It is my belief that the amendment proposed by the hon. member for Capilano should receive the endorsement and entire support of the House.

Mr. Goodale: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the hon. gentleman would entertain a question before concluding his remarks?

An hon. Member: There is a point of order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. The hon. parliamentary secretary has requested the right to ask a question of the hon. member for Fraser Valley East (Mr. Patterson). I think we should put his request to the hon. member, who may accept or refuse it. Is the hon. member ready to entertain such a question?

Mr. Patterson: Yes.

Mr. Goodale: Mr. Speaker, I will be very brief. I want to ask the hon. member if he is aware of the work done by the standing committee in relation to the registry to which he referred in the early part of his remarks. These provisions were substantially amended.

An hon. Member: That is an insult.

Mr. Goodale: I refer particularly to that aspect dealing with regional deputy registers. His remarks might have referred to—

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

An hon. Member: I wouldn't even answer.

Mr. Paproski: Shame on you. That is just an insult.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

An hon. Member: You are looking for a ministry, that is what you want.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please.

Mr. Patterson: Mr. Speaker, I am fully aware of the discussion that went on. I made the statement that while

Business of the House

there was a degree of accommodation, I still believe that the planning and the program that are in operation at the present time are far superior.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

* * *

● (2150)

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the minister rising on a point of order?

Mr. Sharp: Yes, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if I might raise two points of order about the business before the House. The first is to seek a change in an order of the House made earlier this day for the taking of the vote on Bill C-68. It was agreed at that time that the vote would be taken tomorrow evening at 9.30. We have had subsequent discussion because there is another event of some importance taking place which some members would like to attend. Therefore it is agreed that instead of being taken at 9.30 p.m. the vote will be taken shortly after 8 p.m. I say shortly after 8 p.m. because I think the bells ought to ring twice—once to summon the members and then, after a short interval, again to bring members to a vote. If that could be agreed I would come to the second point of order.

Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton): Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the minister. We are very happy to accommodate him for the special event that is necessary. It will probably be the only happy occasion in that particular location for quite some time. We are very happy to agree.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, this change is acceptable and we agree to it.

[Translation]

Mr. Beaudoin: We agree, Mr. Speaker.

[English]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. There seems to be agreement that the vote on Bill C-68 be taken shortly after eight o'clock tomorrow night. Is it agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It is agreed and so ordered.

Mr. Sharp: Mr. Speaker, may I come to the second point of order. It relates to the business for the rest of the week. There has been some discussion among the House leaders, indeed going beyond the House leaders because the subject under consideration is Bill C-84 which is the subject of a free vote in which all members of the House have a special interest. I would therefore like to move, with unanimous consent:

That, notwithstanding any standing or special order:

1. The House shall not sit from 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, June 29, 1976;
2. The House shall not sit on Friday, July 2, 1976;
3. The House shall revert to Presenting Reports from Standing and Special Committees at 10:00 p.m. this day;