ASIA-PACIFIC: QUESTIONS AND CHOICES for the 1997 NATIONAL FORUM ON CANADA'S INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

Introduction

The timing was a matter of chance, but the decision was powerfully telling: Just weeks after the election of his government in 1993, Prime Minister Jean Chrétien chose as his first foreign-policy mission the Seattle summit of Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation--APEC. Ever since, Canada's relations with Asia and the Pacific Rim have commanded more attention from the Canadian public and policy-makers than at any time in our history.

And small wonder. In its sheer size and diversity, in the turbulent speed of its economic growth, in the turmoil of social and political changes throughout the region, Asia-Pacific demands new efforts of understanding by Canadians and their government. In the years to come, events in Asia-Pacific will go a long way to determining our prosperity as a country, our security in the world, and the prospects for sharing democratic values in an emergent Pacific community.

The purpose in this discussion is to explore some of the dangers as well as the promise in the Asia-Pacific future -- and to identify a few hard choices that Canadians will have to make in our own foreign policy. Specifically:

1. To what extent, and by what measures, should Canadians promote and protect human rights in the Asia-Pacific region?

2. How can Canadians help the region's indigenous peoples find their voice and their place in the countries of Asia-Pacific?

3. How should Canadians help Asia-Pacific societies transform emvironmentally destructive growth into sustainable development?

These are three distinct questions, each complicated in its own way. But they are all grounded in a problem as critical to Asia-Pacific societies as to our own--the problem of democracy, of fostering open civil societies whose citizens have the space to make peaceful lives for themselves, along with the freedoms and real opportunity to govern themselves.

Which leads to another theme in this citizens' discussion: the democratization of Canadian foreign policy, and the need for Canadians to make choices between three competing objectives. Those objectives, set out in the Canadian government's 1995 foreign policy statement, are *prosperity, security*, and the *projection of Canadian values*--including values of democratic government. But as we will see, in Asia-Pacific it is not always easy to pursue the three

-2- supported at a recorder?