The Catholic 2.ecord. Ambitahed Weekly at 484 and 485 Richmon street, London, Ontario. Price of subscription—42.00 per annum. EDITORS: REV. GEORGE R. NORTHGRAVES, Author of "Mistakes of Modern Infidels."

Author of "Mistakes of Modern Infidels."
THOMAS COFFEY.
Publisher and Proprietor, THOMAS COFFEY,
MESSRS. LUKE. KING, JOHN NIGH, P
NEVEN and M. C. O'DONNELL are fully
authorized to receive subscriptions and transact
all other business for the CATHOLIC RECORD.
Rates of Advertising—Ten cents per line each
spection, agate measurement.
Approved and recommended by the Archbishops of Toronto, Kingston, Ottawa, and St.
Boniface, and the Bishops of London, Hamilton
and Peterboro, and the clergy throughout the
Dominion.

Correspondence intended for publication, as well as that having reference to business, should be directed to the proprietor, and must reach London not later than Tuesday morning.

Arrears must be paid in full before the paper can be stopped.

London, Saturday, Jan. 13, 1894. MENDACIOUS ORGANS OF MENDACIOUS SOCIETY.

If there is any one quality in which the P. P. A. and A. P. A. organs excel, it is mendacity, and this is to be expected since they would not without this quality truly represent that organization under its two names.

From the beginning P. P. Aism has been remarkable for the number and boldness of its lies. Such were the statements made that the Catholic churches and school-houses throughout the United States were turned into depots of arms, for the purpose of being prepared for a general rising of Catholics which was to have taken place last September, in order to secure control of the Government of the coun-

The absurdity of such a design was no bar to the invention of the falsehood, which was backed up by numerous others equally preposterous. Without lies and misrepresentations P. P. Aism could give no adequate reason for its existence. We are therefore quite prepared to find the Toronto Mail publishing the most barefaced falsehoods in its columns, day after day, while giving reasons for the existence of that society. One of the most recent of these falsehoods has reference to the mayoralty election of Toronto, it being stated in the issue of that journal of the 3rd inst. that "it is noteworthy that while the struggle was in progress a distinct attempt was made to consolidate the Roman Catholic electors on Mr. Fleming's side through the publication of the report that the P. P. A. was behind his opponent,' and that "if Mr. Fleming has suffered on sectarian grounds, he can lay the blame for his losses upon the zealots who strove to get him a victory by way of the solid vote."

The Mail continues:

"The political campaign at this moment, it is important to note, is being gradually turned into a religious fight by agencies which, nevertheless, profess to be opposed to sectarianism in public life. Many years ago the foun-dation for this condition of affairs was laid when the politicians offered bids for the Sectarian support in the shape of concessions to individuals or to the

The history of the no-Popery agitations during the last few years is so well known to the public that it is scarcely needful to remind them that the agency by which politics were partly turned into a religious fight was by no means anything which Catholics did to turn over "their solid vote" in return for concessions by politicians. This is a falsehood of the Mail, not now repeated for the first time, and no proof of its truth has ever been advanced. One of the principal agencies to introduce religious issues into our politics was the Mail itself, which day after day endeavored to excite hostility between Catholics and Protestants; and we fear it was too successful in its efforts, for there is plenty of fanaticism for it to operate upon. On the part of Catholics, we must say, great patience was manifested while the columns of the Mail teemed with virulent attacks upon their race, religion and schools.

It is true that when the time for action arrived, Catholics were fairly united in punishing at the polls all who joined in the crusade against them; but this was no more than any body of electors would have done who might have been unjustly made the target for the abuse of a party. We heartily endorse the spirit exhibited by the Catholic body when these things occurred, and we glory in the fact that we contributed towards the result : but there was no compact, no sale of votes. no consideration either offered, expected or received, except that the country kept in power what enemies as well as friends acknowledged to be a Government faithful in the performance of its duty. This constant talk of the Mail about a "solid vote" sold the editor.

It is also false that the Catholics of

Toronto raised the religious issue at ment. It was the denial of Home the recent mayorality election. The religious issue was raised entirely by the Mail and the P. P. A., and the Mail is now busy boasting that an era of "equality" is being inauguratedthe equality being ostracism of Catholics from all employment, especially political employment, on religious grounds.

Are we not justified in asserting that mendacity is a characteristic of P. P. Aism and its organs?

> THE LONDON TIMES AND HOME RULE.

A cable despatch gives the informa tion that the London Times of December the 28th contains a three column article the purpose of which is to prove that the principle of self-government which has proved so successful under the constitution of the United States is no good precedent for the granting of Home Rule to Ireland.

The writer makes an effort to refute Mr. Gladstone's contention that the example of the United States is a justification of the principle of Home Rule, and quotes several well-known Liberal authors, such as De Tocqueville and John Stuart Mill, to prove that federalism is not suited to old world conditions

Mr. Gladstone's contention is that large communities forming parts of an extensive territory under one Central Government which has a large amount of common business to transact, is unable to devote sufficient attention to the details of local government where the interests are very distinct, and the conditions of the people very varied, and that consequently these details may be better dealt with by local governments than by the supreme central one. These conditions exist in the United States to a remarkable degree, owing to the diversity of interests scattered over so wide an area, North, South, East and West; yet it well be acknowledged that out of the existing form of Government, and considering the diversity of races of which the population is composed, there is a remarkable unanimity of sentiment in their loyalty to the Constitution and their readiness to submit to the laws enacted by the legally expressed voice of the majority of the people.

We cannot attribute this state of affairs to any other cause than the adaptability of the Constitution to the varied situations of the people. If any one section predominated to such an extent as to impose objectionable legislation on the other sections, widespread discontent would be the result, and the Constitution of the country would be unable to bear the strain; but as matters stand there is a universal readiness to submit to the general verdict rendered by the popular vote every four years, when the voice of the whole country is taken on the policy which must prevail during the next quadrennial period.

There may be points in which the the Constitution itself provides for a manner in which improvement may be effected, but only when the voice of the country is most decisively in favor of them. But on the whole it cannot be denied that the form of Government is a success, and that much of its success is due to the fact that on all questions of local concern, the States, which will be hereafter forty-eight in number govern themselves in accordance with their best interests as they view matters.

It cannot be fairly said that even the great civil war which was waged with such bitterness between the North and the South invalidates this view of the case. It cannot be expected that so extensive a country, with so large a population, can be always of the same mind, or that any form of human Government is so perfect as to give complete satisfaction to all sections under all circumstances, so that occasional dissatisfaction and disturbance may be expected under any form of Government; yet we may say that in comparison with other American Governments, and with European countries, the United States has been remarkably free from internal dissensions, and that the great principle of Home Rule is the primary cause of the homogeneousness of United States loyalty to their flag

Home Rule is especially suited to populations which, though united under one central Government, have nevertheless interests very distinct. This is recognized even now by Great Britain, which willingly accords to such distinct colonies as Canada. Australia and for concessions "to individuals or to Cape Colony, the fullest measure of the Church" is a pure invention of Home Rule, with the result that these colonies are loyal, not only to their

Rule which caused the loss of almost half a continent in North America.

Ireland, though not geographically so distant from England and Scotland as Canada, is as distant socially and economically, when we take into consideration the differences of creed and of the land tenure. On the question of education, Great Britain has, down to the present time, not been able or willing to meet the views of the Irish people; while on the land question the course of the British Parliament has been to legislate for the interest of a few land-holders, and for the manufacturers of England, rather than for the benefit of the people of Ireland. These are sources of discontent which will prevail until the basis of legislation for Ireland be radically changed to meet the wishes of the people; and there is no hope that the necessary changes will be made until she becom as self-governing as the distant colonies of the British Empire which we have mentioned.

We are not yet informed in detail of the arguments by which the Times seeks to show that the Home Rule which has proved so successful in the United States is unsuitable to Ireland : but we are satisfied that this cannot be satisfactorily maintained. We are convinced that Mr. Gladstone's reasoning is unanswerable, and that the concession of Home Rule would inaugurate a new era of prosperity in Ireland, and of peace and good-will between the three kingdoms, such as has not hitherto existed, an era of true equality and fraternity.

It is natural to suppose that the Irish people know best the character of the legislation which will ameliorate their condition, and the fact that hitherto the Imperial Parliament has steadily refused to listen to the demands of the members for Ireland, is reason enough to establish the necessity of Home Rule. The British Parliament has so many questions to consider which relate to the general interests of the Empire and its colonies, and their relations to foreign countries, that there is little time to devote to the consideration of the affairs of a country which most of the members have regarded hitherto with suspicion and even positive aversion. Asaconsequence, until by its unanimity in demanding that justice which has always been denied it to the presen time, it has forced attention to itself and to the grievances under which it has so long labored.

There has been undoubtedly some amelioration in the condition of the people through recent legislation, but even to the present time most of the ills from which they are suffering have net been taken into serious consideration. It has been the custom, especially before the present Parliament was elected, to ignore Ireland's demands altogether.

There has been some improvement in this respect since Mr. Gladstone's his force of character, and his to do full justice to Ireland, he cannot change the whole system of govern. ment, nor can he create time for the Parliament to give due attention to Irish affairs. It is an inherent difficulty under the present system of government that Irish affairs should be neglected, though the three centuries of misgovernment under which the country has suffered require that special attention should be given to her case, now that there exists a Government disposed to redress her grievances. These are considerations which make Home Rule the only remedy which can be applied to the case; but we must add that, with all the willingness of the present Government to better Ireland's condition, it would be impossible to induce the English and Scotch members of Parliament to devote time enough to master the details of Ireland's case sufficiently to enable them to legislate successfully for her.

We have more than once had occasion to rebut the argument against Home Rule which has been adduced so frequently by Lord Salisbury, Mr. Balfour, the Times, the Ulster Orangemen and other opponents of Home Rule to show that it is not suited to the condition of Ireland. It is not necessary for us now to enter upon a full refutation of this trite assertion for which there is no foundation in fact, that the Catholic people of Ireland would treat the Protestant minority tyrannically. It is probable, however, that this is one of the reasons given for its thesis in the Times article. It is proper, therefore, that we should point out the indisputable fact that hitherto the whole Catholic population of Ireland has suffered Local, but also to the Imperial Govern. from the oppressive rule of the com-

bined Protestant majority in the THE P. P. A. AND THE MUN! to make it appear that this bigotry is British Parliament, and the Orange minority in Ulster. Even if the Tory contention were correct, it would be a less evil to have the minority oppressed than to keep the majority under the tyrant's heel. But there is no fear of oppression from the Irish Catholic majority. The supremacy of the Imperial Parliament would be sufficient safeguard against such a contingency; but there are in the Home Rule Bill as introduced by Mr. Gladstone sufficient guarantees to the Irish minority to prevent any such tyranny as is feared from being attempted. The Home Rulers, however, would not attempt it in any event, for even as the matter stands, it is to the Catholic Home Rulers that the fact is due that more than 25 per cent. of the Irish members of Parliament are Protestants at the present moment, ten of whom are elected by thoroughly Catholic constituencies. There is no fear of oppression by a Catholic majority which gives so unmistakable a proof as this of their liberality and their wish that their fellow-countrymen of all creeds should be on a perfect equality with

them in the government of the nation. THE CHICAGO MAYORALTY.

The gentleman elected to succeed Mayor Harrison, of Chicago, who was killed by the crank Prendergast, is Mr. John Patrick Hopkins, a native of Buffalo, a Catholic of Irish descent. The Apaists did all in their power to defeat him, but in spite of their virulent opposition he received a majority of 1,200 over his opponent, Mr. Geo. B. Swift. Nearly all the Protestant churches were turned into political meeting - rooms on the Sunday two days before the election, anti-Catholic sermons being delivered in several of them, and in the rest a paper being handed to all with the appeal printed in bold type : "The two candidateswhich? Geerge B. Swift, Protestant ; John Patrick Hopkins, Romanist."

The Interior, the Presbyterian organ, joined in the cry against Mayor Hopkins, though not pretending to oppose him on account of his religion; nevertheless, it called special attention to his second name, Patrick, undoubtedly to indicate that he is an Irishman by descent and a Catholic.

Though Catholics constitute nearly half of the population of Chicago, they are far enough from being a majority. so that Mayor Hopkins must have polled a considerable Protestant vote. This shows that there are many Protestants who are not to be bull-dozed into supporting A. P. A. candidates for office. The Apaists have gained many local successes through their appeals to bigotry, but there is encouragement to Catholics and liberal Protestants in the fact that they cannot control the vote of so important a city as Chicago.

We by no means desire to be understood as wishing a candidate for muni last accession to power; but with all cipal honors to be elected simply on unt of his profession of the Catho lic faith, but we heartily congratulate the Catholics and liberal Protestants of Chicago on this victory, because it is one which will help to crush that snake-in-the-grass, the American (or, rather, the fanatical and office-seeking) Protective Association.

Mayor Hopkins is held in the high est esteem on account of his uprightness and integrity. He is an able financier, and only the most rabid bigotry could find a reason for opposition to his election. He has before now shown the highest executive ability. He organized the Secord-Hopkins Co., of Chicago, in 1885, which has now the finest general store in the city. doing a business which amounts to \$400,000 per annum. He was also during that same year treasurer of Hyde Park, having custody of \$2,000,-000, and under his management the Chemical National Bank has had un precedented success.

The Apaists made most strenuous efforts to defeat him, issuing lying circulars against him, and meeting every night to make a perfect canvass against him. His success has been one of the severest blows given to the organization since its birth. Mrs. Margaret Shepherd, once so popular with the Apaists of Chicago, did not appear on the scene to canvass for Mr. Swift. Perhaps the exposure of her career by the Society of the Loyal Women of American Liberty made her believe that her absence would be better appreciated by her quondam friends than all the assistance she could have afforded them.

Dogmatic truth is the key, and the soul of man is the lock. The proof of the key is in its opening of the lock; and if it does that, all other evidence of its authenticity is superfluous, and all attempts to disprove it are absurd in the eyes of a sensible person.

—Coventry Patmore.

CIPAL ELECTIONS.

The municipal elections which took place throughout Ontario on the 1st inst. were conducted for the most part with the greatest possible good humor, and there cannot be imagined any valid reason why such should not be the case every year and in every locality. There may be differences of opinion between neighbors as to the best method of conducting municipal matters, concerning the building and repairing of roads and bridges, the construction of sewers, the fitness of candidates for the filling of municipal positions, and the like; but it may usually be supposed that they who differ on these matters are about equally interested in the efficient and economical administration of public finances, and endeavor to select the most trustworthy for the fulfilment of this duty.

There is no reason why political differences, or differences of religion and race, should have a place in these contests. In every instance the ablest and honestest men should be elected: men who will dispense equal justice to all taxpayers. We lay it down, therefore, as a self-evident principle that they who introduce creed issues into such contests are the worst enemies of good and economical government.

In most municipalities there were no such issues, but we regret to have it to record that in some places this has been the case, with the very natural result that much ill-feeling has been generated.

In our own city of London there was scarcely room for an intelligent choice between the two candidates for the mayorality. Mr. Essery, who has been elected, was avowedly the nominee of the P. P. A., while his opponent, Mr. Taylor, holds very similar views, having been for some time the candidate of the pseudo Equal Righters, a society now defunct, but which is succeeded by the P. P. A. on about the same lines. London has had of late years a spasm of bigotry from which it seems scarcely to have recovered yet. We are confident, however, the time will come when they who have made themselves conspicuous in furthering the P. P. A. movement will wish they had not done so, and wil endeavor, perhaps unsuccessfully, to make the public believe that they were always liberal-minded men.

In Brantford the whole election was conducted on the P. P. A. issue. There is in that city a single Catholic policeman, it appears, among the employees of the council, and the Protestant majority are so magnanimous that that policeman in his position, or of result that the mayor and council are man will suffer very greatly by the loss of an indifferent position under such masters, should it be their will to deprive him of it. No doubt he will readily find another occupation. But we have of late heard so much of the horrible fate to which the meek among the Protestants of the Province orangeman of Ulster would be subjected by the tyrannical and ungenerous Catholic majority in Ireland if Home Rule were passed, that we were somewhat curious, and there is a grim satisfaction in our knowing the kind of generosity and fairness which is to Our Catholic readers will understand be expected from a decisive Protestant majority. The Brantford and London elections throw a satisfactory light on the subject, and give point to the earnest appeals which have been made to the Catholics of the Dominion to leave their co-religionists of Manitobe to the tender mercy of the predomin ant majority there who have already manifested their nobleness of character by legislating to the effect that any Catholic who presumes to educate his children in the Catholic faith must be punished by being compelled to contribute to the education of the children of his Protestant neighbors.

We are told in special despatches to the Mail that in Waterloo and Berlin the candidates elected to the mayoralty are P. P. A. men; and in the latter town all the candidates for the council supported by the P. P. A. were elected except one. Like Brantford, both these towns are most decidedly Protestant, the Catholics constituting but a small minority of the population. There is no more reason for a special combination against Catholics in these it appears that there is an inherent to put his name on the sheet, is one of spirit of bigotry which is strong in a those creatures who would stand on a very large proportion of our Protestant house top waving the flag of civil and fellow-citizens. The Mail endeavors religious liberty and equal rights to

even more widespread than it really is. We are assured by that journal that the new Mayors, Stewart of Hamilton, and Kennedy of Toronto, were also both elected by the P. P. A. vote. In the case of Mayor Kennedy, we have no good reason to suppose that he is affiliated with that organization of bigotry, though undoubtedly the P. P. A. contributed towards swelling his large majority through hostility to his opponent, Mr. Fleming, the late mayor. In Hamilton, Mr. Stewart repudiates the idea that he has been elected as hostile to Catholics, though we admit that appearances are the other way.

We must, then, face the fact that there is in existence in Ontario a powerful organization, bound by oath to drive Catholics, if possible, from all public positions. The injustice of this is well understood by the conspirators, but they only delight in inflicting injustice upon Catholics.

We are satisfied, on the other hand. that Catholics are well able to defend themselves in the general melee, though there are localities in which they must expect to suffer for a while from political ostracism.

We are happy to place on record our conviction that there are many Protestants who will not join in this crusade of bigotry, and that, like all similar agitations, the present one will be finally overwhelmed by the good sense of the people.

The recent contest strengthens our conviction in this matter. Though in some places P. P. Aism has triumphed. it is chiefly in places where the iniquity of that association is not fully appreciated as yet. But where it becomes known it creates only disgust. An example of this state of things may be seen in Windsor the town from which it was recently boasted that it was the first in Ontario to introduce American Know Nothingism into Canada. The Catholics of Windsor number only

28.6 per cent of the population, and at the elections of 1893 P. P. Aism was an important factor, though it did not work as openly as afterwards, when it imagined itself to be strong. But as the elections of 1894 approached, the citizens - especially the Protestant citizens-determined to crush the head of the snake in the grass, and a citizens' ticket was enthusiastically nominated for the Mayoralty and Council. in open defiance of the P. P. A. conspiracy. On this citizens' ticket there was a fair proportion of Catholic names, and, to the credit of the Protestants of Windsor it is to be recorded that of twelve candidates on the ticket, eleven were elected, the whole civic election was made to with two P. P. A. men in the new turn upon the question of retaining council. These two, it is understood, would not have been elected except for dismissing him in accordance with P. a mistake. The thirteenth candidate P. A. principles. On this issue the of the citizens' ticket declined to run, whole city was agitated, with the and as the voters had each three votes, the third vote of supporters of the all P. P. A. men. Se be it. We do citizens' ticket was usually given to not believe that a respectable gentle- one or other of the P. P. A. candidates, with the result that two of the latter were elected, and one citizens' candidate defeated. Thus P. P. Aism is turned out of its very cradle.

The result is encouraging, as it shows that the society is not so strong as it proclaims itself to be, and we are satisfied that it will soon wear itself out, as all such organizations have done in the past. However, as long as it may raise its ugly head and put forth its fangs it must be resolutely fought. that in fighting it liberal Protestants are not to be confounded with P. P. A. bigots, nor to be held accountable for the doings of the latter.

EDITORIAL NOTES.

THE P. P. A. has developed some curious specimens of humanity. A paper called the Plaindealer, published in Owen sound, made up in part of boiler-plate matter and "blacksmith" type-setting, and supposed to be an organ of the conspirators, commences an editorial in this fashion :

an editorial in this issuion:

An apostle of Hate must have made a long sojourn in Owen Sound—in fact, must have an industrious superintendent representing him here right along, if we may judge from the spirit of hated manifested between citizens of the town. We noticed the terrible feeling shortly after our first newspaper experience here, and it struck us as being something horrible—even to contemplate.

And on its first page heads off another article in the following style : Rome has held the balance of power for her own purposes — So called Protestants playing into the hands of Jesuits by pratting of "no Creed" nonsense, which mean subserviency to Romish Church in practical

The editor, who, by the way, in true places than there is in Brantford; yet P. P. A. fashion, is ashamed or afraid