

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

BIBLIOGRAPHY, 1-2.

CHAPTER 1, pp. 3-9. The discussion begins with Schiller, 3.—Erroneous views, 3.—More reasonable views, 4.—Friedländer, 5.—The subject now discussed from the historical stand-point, 5.—What can still be done in investigation? 6.—Object of the present study, 7.—Results achieved, 7.—Various modes of contemplating nature, 7.—What was the Greek conception of nature? 8.—Man the centre of interest, 8.—Love of the picturesque among the Greeks, 8.—Little expression of that love in their literature, 9.—Love of nature subordinate to love of man, 9.

CHAPTER 2, pp. 10-19. Aeschylus, 10.—Poverty of descriptive element, 10.—Sense of the utility of nature, 10.—Sensuous enjoyment of nature, 11.—Religious sense, 11.—Love for nature is secondary, 11.—Illustrations of life, taken from the sea, 11.—Illustrations from other spheres, 12.—Illustrations from trees and plants, 12.—Flowers in Aeschylus, 13.—Birds and animals, 13.—Personification of nature, 15.—Personification characteristic of Aeschylus, 15.—Quaintness of certain metaphors, 16.—Mode of neutralizing metaphors, 16.—Nature given a symbolical meaning, 16.—The Pathetic Fallacy in Aeschylus, 17.—Feeling for the picturesque and the grand in scenery, 18.—Mountain scenery, 18.—Feeling for the sea: (1) The bright side; (2) the dark side, 18.—Sublimity in other fields, 19.

CHAPTER 3, pp. 20-34. Sophocles, 20.—Topographical accuracy, 20.—Vividness of local picturing, 22.—Poverty of descriptive element, 22.—Sense of the utility of nature, 23.—Sensuous delight in nature, 24.—Love for nature secondary to other interests, 24.—Nature illustrates human life, 24.—Illustrations from the sea, 24.—Rivers in Sophocles, 25.—Illustrations from a variety of sources, 25.—Trees and plants, 26.—Flowers, 27.—Birds and animals, 27.—Feeling for nature expressed in mythological terms, 29.—Personification, 29.—Symbolism of nature, 30.—Personality of nature and the Pathetic Fallacy in Sophocles, 30.—Sophocles lacking in sublimity, 32.—His treatment of mountains, 32.—His treatment of the sea, 33.—The sea suggests loneliness, 33.

CHAPTER 4, pp. 34-70. Euripides, 34.—His greater significance, 34.—Romantic and descriptive barrenness even in Euripides, 34.—Sense of the utility of nature, 36.—Less careful than Sophocles in topographical accuracy, 37.—Certain vivid scenes, 37.—Euripides' descriptions general, not specific, 37.—Love of brilliance and splendor, 38.—Euripides' color-range, 38.—Compared with Aeschylus and Sophocles in this respect, 39.—Contrast in colors, 41.—Appreciation of the effects of air and light, 41.—Illustrations of life from nature, 42.—The sea in Euripides, 42.—Rivers in Euripides, 44.—The botanical world in Euripides, 45.—Trees and flowers introduced sometimes because of their special significance, 45.—Main reason for their introduction, 46.—Great variety of trees, fruits and flowers in Euripides, 46.—Aeschylus and Euripides compared, 47.—Sophocles and Euri-