

When they cut costs, they cut corners and when they cut corners they cut safety. That is the inevitable result with this ideological hysteria and hypnotism of the Tories about deregulation. We are going to go full circle. Mark my words, Mr. Speaker. I plan on being here for several more years. You will see the Government of the day whether it is Tory, Liberal or NDP go full circle and reinstate regulations to make these corporations do what they refuse to do under deregulation or were not allowed to do under deregulation.

Sometimes you need to have regulations, not to stop corporations from doing something, but to make them do something. There is a great deal of difference. I do not think that is Government on somebody's back by having regulations that make corporations do something. It makes them do something in the interests, not only of efficient and safe transportation, but in the interests of the travelling public and in the interests of safety.

I hope the Government does not think that with this Bill it can rest on its laurels. We will be watching the Estimates very carefully. We will be rising to ask questions in Question Period when there is a shortage of inspectors. We will be raising Cain in the committee and in the House when it is obvious that safety, maintenance and inspection standards have not been complied with or are not at a level where the public can feel as safe as it is possible to feel.

We agree that this legislation should pass today. We hope the Government will proceed with total accident and safety regulations for all modes of transportation with an accident investigation board which covers rail, highway, marine—the whole ball of wax. On the committee I, for one, am prepared to work at this and to work hard. The quicker the better. Let us not rest on this Bill. There is a lot more to be done.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the House ready for the question?

Some Hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion agreed to, Bill read the third time and passed.

Mr. McDermid: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. It being 14 minutes before the hour of six o'clock, I would like to call it six o'clock on the understanding that the Private Member's Motion to be introduced and debated later today by the Hon. Member for Drummond (Mr. Guilbault) will remain in the same place and be discussed on Thursday of this week.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is there unanimous consent to call it six o'clock?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Adjournment Debate

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is there unanimous consent to allow the motion of the Hon. Member for Drummond (Mr. Guilbault) to keep its place in the order of precedence?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Gauthier: Mr. Speaker, I want to address the problem of those Members of Parliament who are speaking in the Adjournment Debate and who have 15 minutes to get here. I take it that the House will respect the order of those Members, namely, the Hon. Member for Etobicoke—Lakeshore (Mr. Boyer), the Hon. Member for Davenport (Mr. Caccia) and the Hon. Member for Cape Breton—East Richmond (Mr. Dingwall)—

Mr. Epp (Provencher): He and I are here, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Gauthier: If the Minister is going to answer, then I will sit down. This is an exceptional circumstance.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: If the House agrees, we will proceed with the first Member listed for the Adjournment Debate and if the second Member is not here, we will adjourn for a few minutes.

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[English]

A motion to adjourn the House under Standing Order 66 deemed to have been moved.

MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL—GUIDELINES ON ETHICAL CONDUCT OF RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS

Mr. Patrick Boyer (Etobicoke—Lakeshore): Mr. Speaker, I asked the Minister of National Health and Welfare (Mr. Epp) some days back about the guidelines on research involving human subjects, because just at that time the Medical Research Council of Canada had adopted a report dealing with that subject. This document is extremely timely because it raises central issues respecting the protection of human life in society.

The Medical Research Council accepted the report which I hold here as the MRC's guidelines on research involving human subjects. It requires all research funds to be in compliance with these guidelines.

By way of introduction, let me briefly make a few comments about this report and the guidelines. First, it is very clear that this document, and the members of the Medical Research Council Standing Committee on Ethics in Experimentation who authored it are experts in the subject. They come from diverse backgrounds reflecting medical, legal, ethical, theological, and scientific backgrounds. No one can take lightly the conclusions they come to in the report. I would defer personally to any of them on this subject. I do not raise this subject in