

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): 1. Nil.

2. Not applicable. Project is not yet in construction stage.

3. The project will be carried out in accordance with cost control procedures as outlined in the response to question No. 1,371, part 3(b), answered this day.

Question No. 1,412—Mr. Schellenberger:

1. What is the present amount of the cost overrun for the school addition at Saddle Lake?

2. Is this the final cost overrun on the project?

3. Are steps presently being taken to prevent further cost overruns on the project and, if so, what are they?

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): 1. \$111,206.

2. Yes.

3. The project has been completed. It was initiated and approved prior to issuance of the Treasury Board directive on cost control, December, 1979. To the extent possible at the stage of construction, the cost control procedures outlined in the response to question No. 1,371, part 3(b), answered this day, were followed.

Question No. 1,413—Mr. Schellenberger:

1. What is the present amount of the cost overrun for the arena at Sandy Lake Reserve?

2. Is this the final cost overrun on the project?

3. Are steps presently being taken to prevent further cost overruns on the project and, if so, what are they?

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): 1. \$107,000.

2. Yes.

3. The project has been completed. It was initiated and approved prior to issuance of the Treasury Board directive on cost control, December, 1979. To the extent possible at the stage of construction, the cost control procedures outlined in the response to question No. 1,371, part 3(b), answered this day, were followed.

[English]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Blaker): The questions as enumerated by the hon. parliamentary secretary have been answered. Shall the remaining questions stand?

Some hon. Members: Stand.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Blaker): Orders of the day.

The Constitution

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[Translation]

THE CONSTITUTION

RESOLUTION RESPECTING CONSTITUTION ACT, 1981

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Chrétien, seconded by Mr. Roberts, for an Address to Her Majesty the Queen respecting the Constitution of Canada.

And on the amendment of Mr. Epp, seconded by Mr. Baker (Nepean-Carleton)—That the motion be amended in Schedule B of the proposed resolution by deleting Clause 46, and by making all necessary changes to the Schedule consequential thereto.

[English]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Blaker): In the absence, at the moment, of the hon. member for Burnaby (Mr. Robinson), whose time will not be lost as a result of this, I will now recognize the hon. member for Northumberland-Miramichi (Mr. Dionne).

Mr. Gauthier: I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

[Translation]

Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Blaker): The hon. member for Ottawa-Vanier (Mr. Gauthier) on a point of order.

Mr. Gauthier: Mr. Speaker, I merely wish by means of a point of order to seek advice from the Chair on the debate now in progress in the House.

[English]

Mr. Speaker, I would like, if I may, to explain my point of order to you and ask for your indulgence and direction. According to the traditions and the customs of this House, bills are usually amendable at the report stage, and it is at least my understanding that the Speaker gathers these amendments, sometimes informs the House that he or she has before him or her a certain set of amendments, some of which are grouped together, and before passing on the suitability of the amendments informs the House that she or he has reviewed these and that they will be taken according to the relevance and substance of the amendments.

● (1820)

We are here dealing with a resolution about which some backbenchers—and I am one of those—would like to get some instructions and information from the Chair regarding the procedure at hand. There has been a series of speakers on the amendment moved sometime ago by the hon. member for Provencher (Mr. Epp) when he spoke in this House. I understand that the speeches to date have been on that amendment. I also understand that every member of the House is entitled to speak for 40 minutes on this amendment, and while we are