

The answers to questions Nos. 2 and 3 set out the various commissions and their costs. With the leave of the house, instead of reading the list I should like it to be placed on *Hansard*.

Mr. Speaker: Is it agreed that the answers be printed in *Hansard* as though read?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[*Editor's Note: The text of the answers above referred to is as follows:*]

2 and 3. Royal commission on energy policies, \$414,557; royal commission on price spreads in food products, \$290,224; royal commission on Seabird island Indians, \$2,506; royal commission on railway problems (transportation), \$555,946; royal commission on the Great Slave lake railway, \$46,920; royal commission on treaties 8 and 11 as they apply to Indians of the Mackenzie district, \$8,245; royal commission on coal, \$99,166; royal commission on the motor vehicles industries, \$57,841; royal commission on certain activities of station CHEK-TV, Victoria, B.C., \$4,720; royal commission on government organization, \$2,677,469; royal commission on Canadian magazines and other periodicals (publications), \$136,121; royal commission on banking and finance; royal commission on taxation; royal commission on pilotage; royal commission on health services.

MULGRAVE, N.S.—WORKS PROJECTS

Question No. 787—**Mr. Stewart:**

1. What improvements to the wharf and facilities at Mulgrave, Nova Scotia, are to be made under Vote 74, Department of Transport?
2. Has this work begun and, if so, when will it be completed?
3. Are any other improvements at Mulgrave provided for in 1962-63, and, if so, what improvements?

Mr. McBain: The management of Canadian National Railways advise as follows:

1. None. Improvements to the freight handling facilities deferred by the railway pending a study of the general area to determine the best location for freight operations and the facilities required.

2. Answered by No. 1.
3. No.

AIRSTrip FOR DOMINION HELICOPTERS LTD., KING TOWNSHIP, ONT.

Question No. 812—**Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale):**

1. Has a departmental investigation been carried out as to the suitability of the location of a single airstrip on the heliport property of Dominion Helicopters Limited in King township, county of York, Ontario, and, if so, what was the result of such investigation?
2. Will the customary departmental approval of the proposed airstrip in advance of construction be granted prior to December 1, 1962?

Questions

3. Has the Minister of Transport or any other minister, received any communication from Mr. Henry Borden, Q.C., of King township, Ontario, as to the location of the airstrip referred to in part 1, and, if so, was such communication oral or in writing?

Mr. Halpenny: 1. Yes. The site was found to be technically suitable for development but objections were received from local property owners regarding the development of an airstrip in that location. In view of this, no action has been taken by the Department of Transport regarding the issuance of an airport licence.

2. Answered by No. 1.
3. Yes, orally.

JOINT DRUG TESTING AUTHORITY

Question No. 865—**Mr. Badanai:**

Has the government given any consideration to the possibility of creating a joint authority with the United States which would regulate the testing and approval of all new drugs, such as is being done in Europe, to prevent the distribution of unapproved drugs across national boundaries?

Mrs. Casselman: No official action has been taken between the two governments but there is and has been close co-operation between the departments of government concerned in the interchange of information relating to new drugs and to reports of undesirable side effects or other dangers. In a more general context, however, it should be noted that in May of this year, Canada co-sponsored a resolution approved by the world health assembly, in which the director general was requested to study the feasibility of increasing international co-operation in this field, under world health organization auspices. The study is now in progress, and will be followed closely by Canada's representative on the executive board.

LIGHTHOUSE BUILDING, POMQUET ISLAND, N.S.

Question No. 884—**Mr. Stewart:**

When the crown assets disposal corporation advertised for sale and removal the lighthouse building on Pomquet island, Nova Scotia, was it stipulated that the purchaser was to fill in the cellar excavation?

Mr. O'Hurley: Advertisement bore the notation that the buildings were being sold "for removal and restoration of sites" and invitations for offers stated that "within 60 days from the date of sale the purchaser shall demolish and remove the buildings and all materials and leave the sites clean and tidy after levelling to the original elevation of the ground any excavations or mounds resulting from the original construction or the demolition".