

In amendment thereto, Mr. Brewin moved, seconded by Mr. Barnett, that the motion of Mr. Nielsen be referred to the steering subcommittee to consider and report back to the Committee as to whether there is any objection to its production at the public hearings of this Committee.

After discussion, the question being put on the amendment, it was carried on the following division: YEAS, 7; NAYS, 6.

The question being put on the motion as amended, it was carried on the following division: YEAS, 11; NAYS, 3.

Mr. Nielsen moved, seconded by Mr. Starr, that a memorandum or report to the Board of Trustees from the election committee dated November 10, 1964, signed by Messrs. Ainsborough, MacArthur and Judge be produced for the Committee.

In amendment thereto, Mr. Brewin moved, seconded by Mr. Barnett, that the motion of Mr. Nielsen be referred to the steering subcommittee to consider and report back to the Committee as to whether there is any objection to its production at the public hearings of this Committee.

After discussion, the question being put on the amendment, it was negatived on the following division: YEAS, 6; NAYS, 8.

The question being put on the motion, it was negatived on the following division: YEAS, 4; NAYS, 11.

At 9.05 p.m., the examination of the witness still continuing, the Committee adjourned to the call of the Chair.

M. Slack,
Clerk of the Committee.

Note—The evidence, adduced in French and translated into English, printed in this issue, was recorded by an electronic recording apparatus, pursuant to a recommendation contained in the Seventh Report of the Special Committee on Procedure and Organization, presented and concurred in, on May 20, 1964.