

thing, but I do not know that it does." "It is because it is against professional etiquette (to advertise cures and to keep remedies secret) that I say it is disgraceful and infamous; that is from a doctor's point of view." "If the statements are true, I would not consider it disgraceful in an ordinary person to publish, but in a doctor it is contrary to rules laid down by the Ontario Medical Council, and would be disgraceful."

I would just note here that the accused was admitted to practice before these rules were passed by the council.

Dr. Pyne continued: "Hydriodic acid is not in the British Pharmacopoeia; it is not recognized as an official preparation; it is hardly used at all. It is supposed to act as an alterative and lowerer of the temperature, but that does not seem to be stated on very good authority. . . . It is possible it may have that effect."

Dr. Field, having heard read the analysis, said: "As to 'Grippura,' it is absolutely worthless; I never tried it for gripe." In re-examination he is asked: "It would be imposing on the credulity of the people?" A. "Yes; obtaining money for something which was not true." Mr. Kerr (counsel for the accused) objects to the leading, and asks, "If it does what they say the people are not being defrauded?" A. "If it does what he says, they are not."

Dr. Ferris, again examined, says it was infamous to withhold a saleable remedy from the profession, if it was, as claimed, of general benefit, and that the statements in the circular are infamous and disgraceful from a medical standpoint.

Upon all the evidence the committee then made a written report to the council finding proved the charge that the accused did infamously, disgracefully, improperly, and unprofessionally advertise, and also that the accused endeavoured to impose on the credulity of the public for the purpose of gain by attempting to deceive such persons as might read the said advertisements.

My brother Mabee comments on the refusal to furnish particulars and to supply a copy of the first evidence, and on the apparent neglect of the council to read or master all the evidence, and I agree with his observations on these points.

I proceed to what was said by and before the council when the report was adopted.