

homœopathist; he said he had many such cases, but six weeks' trial of the remedies of that school failed to in the least degree benefit his case. Another medical man said, "I'll take the bow window off you," evidently thinking adipose tissue was the cause of the enlargement in the hypogastric region and not over-distention of the bladder. Another surgeon told him he had water in his bladder, and that he might require the use of a catheter. It was not until on board ship that he was persuaded by the ship's surgeon to allow a catheter to be passed, and though he went through a sharp attack of cystitis afterwards, and passed bloody urine even as dark as porter at first, he is now in good health, and for some years has passed water on every occasion only by the use of the catheter.

This condition of enlargement is to be suspected when the stream of urine becomes dribbling, and there is an obvious difficulty in emptying the bladder. Micturition especially frequent in the night or early morning, for it is after some hours of sleep or by taking of stimulating fluids freely that the frequent attempts to empty the bladder are made—perhaps a little pain before the act and none afterwards; no alteration in the character of the urine; no passing of blood. The diagnosis is completed by making the patient pass water before us. Then passing a catheter to ascertain how far the enlargement is a barrier to the exit of the urine for the quantity left behind, or residual urine at each act, determines the future treatment. One caution is necessary—it is often wise to ascertain a second time, by this passing of the urine, *ante oculo*, for the nervousness of the patient may produce a temporary inability to thoroughly micturate, and this gives us a false idea of his powers. If these symptoms are neglected or overlooked inconvenience follows, depending on over-distention of the bladder, and later on, from the same cause, cystitis, dilated bladder and ureters, and important renal changes.

Mere size of the gland is not of much assistance in diagnosis, for so long as the prostatic urethra is not encroached upon, the gland may assume considerable proportions by enlargement of the lateral lobes; while if the so-called middle lobe be only slightly enlarged, difficulty in micturition is sure to result, even if the enlargement is so small as to be undetectable by the surgeon per rectum.

It is useful to feel the gland per rectum in all cases to ascertain its size and general condition, which can easily be made out by the finger above and on each side; but I do not think anything is to be gained by introducing short-beaked metal sounds down the urethra and endeavouring to measure the amount of enlargement, and there is a decided objection to their use. Our diagnosis of hypertrophy being clearly made out, and also that this is acting as obstruction to urinary overflow, it may then be proper to direct and teach the patient to use an instrument at least once in the twenty-four hours. Catheterism being necessary, we select that form that will produce the least irritation. Trying, perhaps, first of all, a soft rubber catheter, Jacques' pattern, these sometimes slide in easily, sometimes they won't go in at all and no amount of persuasion or skill with instruments can make them. Or an English gum elastic, or French, olive shaped, may be preferred. Yet I think, of all the soft or flexible catheters, the one most likely to be the most serviceable and to pass the easiest, is the French catheter Coudée. This is especially easy to pass if you keep the beak upwards and allow the catheter to ride into the bladder. If this fails withdraw it about an inch and rotate it on its axis, so that the beak points to the right—if you fail, similarly to the left, and see if it will not slip on into the bladder, for at times the passage is circuitous. Silver catheters are to be used if the soft ones fail, and the introduction of the left forefinger into the bowel is often of service by pressing the point of the catheter forwards.

That this catheterism is necessary is very plain to the surgeon for relief of the more or less complete retention, but should it unhappily be the starting point of serious and perhaps fatal illness, it is not easy to convince the friends of the patient that it is not because the catheter was used, but that it was not used early enough, that the illness is so grave.

I have seen a metaphorical illustration of this possibility by Mr. B. Browne, which I consider very apt: "An elderly man requiring catheterism for a partial or complete prostatic retention of urine may be looked upon as a blind traveller unconsciously approaching the brink of a precipice, and his surgeon may be compared to his friend, who, aware of the danger, hastens to . . . 'ance