

point of order. In one of the appeals the following questions are propounded, the solution of which will form an answer to both.

1st. Whether a subordinate Division has power to grant its funds for any purpose that a two-third vote of its members present may think proper.

2nd. Whether, as the within resolution is worded (referring to the resolution embodied in the appeal) the funds of the Division could be touched.

3rd. Whether brother Bingay's amendment was constitutional or not.

4th. Whether after notice of appeal to a higher branch of our Order, a disputed grant can be constitutionally drawn, previous to such decision being received.

On these points, your Committee submit the following remarks :

1st. Subordinate Divisions have power to grant its funds for any purpose not inconsistent with the Bye-Laws.

2nd. The wording of the resolution was understood by the Division, and therefore not objectionable on that ground.

3rd. The amendment offered by brother Bingay, was in order, and it was clearly the duty of the W. P. to put it to the Division.

Questions of order should not be submitted to the Division, except in cases of dissatisfaction with the decision of the Chair.

4th. If notice of appeal had been given prior to the order being drawn, the W. P. would have acted judiciously, in that particular case, in withholding such order. In all ordinary cases, however, all decisions of the acting Body are held to be valid until reversed by the superior Body in the case.

All which is submitted in L. P. and F.

CHARLES BLANCHARD,  
H. A. TAYLOR,  
J. B. McCULLY.

Adopted.

The G. S. was directed to answer the communication of members of Westport Division, and refer them to the rule on the subject, of withdrawn members.

The Committee on Communications reported as follows :

Your Committee beg leave to report on the subject of the location of the National Division, that if it should be the opinion