

(Continued from page 1)
ated and surrounded early in October it always had been a potential source of danger. Many stubborn battles have been fought by the besiegers in portions of the garrison which attempted to break through the investing lines to join relief columns, which on occasion pushed to within twenty miles of the city.

It is believed here that the next important development in Galicia will be new Russian advance toward Cracow, Austrians having been virtually driven out of the territory as far south as the Carpathians.

Austrian Admission.

Ten days ago, from Amsterdam to London, March 22, 10:35 p.m.—The following official communication has been issued:

After four months' investment, enveloped in honor and failure, the commander had received an order to leave the fortress to the enemy in the hope, at the moment of the attack, with the greatest economy in the rations, resistance could be maintained for three days only.

It proved possible to effect the evacuation of most of the men, together with its guns and all ammunition, as far as the outer fortifications.

The capitulation of the fortress, with possibly the higher command had come for a long time past, has had influence upon the general situation.

Terrible Experience.

Vienna, March 22, via London, March 210 a.m.—Further details of the fall of Przemysl are made public in an official statement issued by the Austrian war office, the text of which follows:

When in the middle of the week provisions were running short, General Kusmanek (commander of the garrison) decided on a last attack. On the 18th, early in the morning, his troops started across the line of forts, and without the combat in a several hours' time, the battle was over.

"Numerous superiorly finally forced the Austrians to retreat behind the line of forts. The following nights the Russians attacked Przemysl from several sides, but the attacks broke down in the face of the fire of the heroic defenders."

"After the sortie of the 18th it was found that the provisions could last only three days, and the commander received orders to surrender the fortress after three days, and after all the material had been destroyed. According

to reports from a friend in the service, the commander in chief in the service, in destroying the forts, incited the

"No less praise is due to the sacrifice and perseverance and last fight of the garrison than to their bravery during the storming of the fortress and the fighting on previous occasions. This opposition to the enemy himself will not be to the heroes of Przemysl."

"The fall of the fortress, with which the higher command reckoned for a long time, has no influence on the situation general."

"The battle in the Carpathians from Uzok Pass to the ridge of Komisczana progressing."

50,000 Men.

Petrograd, March 22, via London, March 210 a.m.—Przemysl garrison, which capitulated to the Russians yesterday, surrendered without a fight. The raising of the white flag over this fortress, which was said to be on the point of surrender on a number of occasions, caused little surprise, as it was generally known that it was in a terribly weak condition without food or ammunition, and its endurance was only a question of days.

War office advised report that nine Austrian generals were taken, more than 50 officers and 40,000 men.

"The general effect of the victory of Russia, it is expected, will be very great, awakening the sense that this will be the turning point in the Galician and Carpathian campaign."

Honor for Russian Leader.

Petrograd, via London, March 22, 11 a.m.—The following official statement on general headquarters was issued to-night:

"From Memel (East Prussia) to the Istrula, and on the left bank of the Vistula, there has been no change. Russian troops, which advanced from Tauron in October, have remained after an engagement, capturing prisoners and horses."

"In the Carpathians stubborn fighting taking place on the roads towards Starfield, in the valleys of the Ondawa and Labecosa rivers, near Lupkow Pass, and on the left bank of the Upper San."

"The Russians have had a successful campaign. During the last two and a half months they captured 2,600 men, fifty officers and twenty machine guns. In the direction of Nunkack, the Germans in dense formation attacked the Russian positions at Rossoskow, Orawiech and Kosciukwka, but were everywhere repulsed and driven back."

"In consequence of the joyful event of the surrender of the fortress of Przemysl, Grand Duke Nicholas, has been awarded the St. George's Cross of the Second degree, and the commander of the army before Przemysl, General Selyanoff, the George's Cross of the Third Degree."

The West.

Petrograd, via London, March 22, 11 a.m.—The following official statement on general headquarters was issued to-night:

"From Memel (East Prussia) to the Istrula, and on the left bank of the Vistula, there has been no change. Russian troops, which advanced from Tauron in October, have remained after an engagement, capturing prisoners and horses."

"In the Carpathians stubborn fighting taking place on the roads towards Starfield, in the valleys of the Ondawa and Labecosa rivers, near Lupkow Pass, and on the left bank of the Upper San."

"The Russians have had a successful campaign. During the last two and a half months they captured 2,600 men, fifty officers and twenty machine guns. In the direction of Nunkack, the Germans in dense formation attacked the Russian positions at Rossoskow, Orawiech and Kosciukwka, but were everywhere repulsed and driven back."

"In consequence of the joyful event of the surrender of the fortress of Przemysl, Grand Duke Nicholas, has been awarded the St. George's Cross of the Second degree, and the commander of the army before Przemysl, General Selyanoff, the George's Cross of the Third Degree."

Crippled International Rail's Service.

"At the end of the government is to cripple the train services on branches it acquires, it would be better for them not to get any more branch lines," declared Pius Michaud, in complaining chiefly of what had been done to the train service on the International railway since the minister of railways took office from Thomas Moreau de Jonnac. He said that the express train had been cancelled and passengers were hauled on a mixed train which was so irregular in its arrival and departure that people thought twice before undertaking a journey.

It is understood that as a result of the protest the regular passenger service is likely to be restored on the International rail.

Bill to Increase Western Senators.

Sir Robert Borden's resolution to increase western representation in the Senate was adopted by the House of Commons, and satisfied Prince Edward Island's representation in the house of commons, which remains in the hands of the Germans.

"At Les Epargne the enemy delivered us counter-attacks, with the object of recovering positions won by us, but was promptly defeated."

"We have made progress to the north."

Bodinville.

Letter From the Front.

Private Leslie Creighton, of the signalling corps of the first contingent, has written home to his father, H. C. Creighton, describing his first experience of trench warfare. He was sent in on the very first day he got to the front. His uncle Lou Leeside, a battle-hardened soldier, having a close call with a shrapnel that skimmed the "top of his parapet." The Germans were then only about 50 yards away, and Private Creighton said: "The Germans can put a bullet through a three-inch hole at 500 yards."

Director of Bank of England Dead.

London, March 22, via London, 6:30 p.m.—The following official statement was issued by the war office tonight:

"On the plateau of Notre Dame de Lorette we hold all the trenches which we have made, and the enemy is silent, except one portion, two metres in extent, which remains in the hands of the Germans."

"At Les Epargne the enemy delivered us counter-attacks, with the object of recovering positions won by us, but was promptly defeated."

"We have made progress to the north."

Bodinville.

Private Leslie Creighton, of the signalling corps of the first contingent, has written home to his father, H. C. Creighton, describing his first experience of trench warfare. He was sent in on the very first day he got to the front. His uncle Lou Leeside, a battle-hardened soldier, having a close call with a shrapnel that skimmed the "top of his parapet." The Germans were then only about 50 yards away, and Private Creighton said: "The Germans can put a bullet through a three-inch hole at 500 yards."

Mr. and Mrs. James F. Robertson left last evening for New York en route to California and Panama.

During consideration of the estimates of the railways and canals department

(Continued on page 5.)

The Semi-Weekly Telegraph

and The News

VOL. LIV.

ST. JOHN, N. B., SATURDAY, MARCH 27, 1915

NO. 58.

GERMAN SUBMARINE SUNK WITH ALL HANDS; RUSSIA WINNING IN CARPATHIANS AND IN SOUTH POLAND

WANT ELECTION TO STOP DISCLOSURES

Graft Revelations Before Public Accounts Committee Alarm Conservatives

Many Anxious for Government to Appeal to the Country Before Scandals Grow Worse—Hope of Prorogation Before Easter Looks Slim Now—Minister of Railways Defends Gutelius Against Criticism, and Hopes He Can Retain Him to Manage I. C. R.

(Special to The Telegraph).

OTTAWA, March 25.—Dreams of an early prorogation of parliament seem suddenly to have gone a-glimmering. Proceedings in the chamber have assumed a subordinate position. A handful of members plot painstakingly their strategy. Empty galleries look down upon them. The members and the crowds room, which are coming, almost every hour, the most sensational developments. Canada is getting a terrible eye-opener into the heartlessness and corruption of the political patronage system.

White ordinary Canadians have been courageous and resolute facing the war; it is now evident that selfishness and moral degeneracy has been conducting fistic operations from the public treasury on a scale unprecedented, even Canada's somewhat checkered career in political history.

Strong pressure is being brought upon the government by many of its supporters to "jump at once" to dissolve, and go to the electors in June—the 14th of that month is the day appointed—and thus seek to avert additional serious revelations said to be looming close on the political horizon.

Will Not Operate Road to Gagetown.

F. B. Carroll urged that the Intercolonial agree to operate the Gagetown road, but the Central News, by indirect route, says:

"Everything is in readiness for a general mobilization of the Italian army. Seven complete classes are already un-

"The departure of Austrian and German families is reported from all parts of Italy; it is estimated that about 8,000 persons of these nationalities have left. Some are still in Milan, Venice and Austria, and large numbers of Hungarians have left Venice by steamer for Trieste."

Warnings to Neutrals.

Rome, March 25, via Paris, 4:25 p.m.—A Rome despatch dated March 24, received by the Central News, by indirect route, says:

"The departure of Austrian and German families is reported from all parts of Italy; it is estimated that about 8,000 persons of these nationalities have left. Some are still in Milan, Venice and Austria, and large numbers of Hungarians have left Venice by steamer for Trieste."

"The refer mostly to the 'twisting' chances of Austria," and say that new neutrals should take advantage of the opportunity now presented to them.

Mr. Carroll, of South Cape Breton, asked when the contract of F. P. Gutelius as general manager of the I. C. R. expired, and whether or not the government proposed to renew that contract.

Mr. Carroll and Mr. Clegg of Westmorland, both expressed the opinion that the Intercolonial Railway would have been well managed if it had been given up to him in what is now referred to as an "imperial" service.

Mr. Carroll and Mr. Clegg of Westmorland, both expressed the opinion that the Intercolonial Railway would have been well managed if it had been given up to him in what is now referred to as an "imperial" service.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done, to the expense of the taxpayers.

Mr. Carroll said that he had no objection to Mr. Gutelius having a private car. He did not think that the manager should be allowed to take his private car, as he had done