

success. And nothing succeeds like such success.

But I demur. Nothing succeeds like character. Is a man a success when if every other man were the same sort of success, there would be no such thing as success? If you desire to ascertain whether or not a man is a success take him and multiply him by eight million and ask yourself the question, what kind of a Dominion would we have if every man in the Dominion of Canada were a duplicate of your original?—that man must be a dubious character whom it is not safe to duplicate.

If you are willing to accept a successful man's achievement without any regard for the moral quality, which must enter into every man's work, then every epoch produces its successful man who has no regard for conscience or for God. Leave out the moral quality and Julius Caesar was a success. Ignore the moral element and, up to a certain point, Napoleon was a supreme success. If you are disposed to judge of a man's success without any reference to the moral quality which ought to enter into every achievement, then there are, in every community, successful men whose acquaintance would be no compliment and whose companionship would be a disgrace to any man who has any regard for conscience or for character. Let us be explicit. The man who throws his conscience overboard is a failure. No amount of money will make a bad man a success. The more colossal the collateral the more complete the collapse. The poorest man in the world is the man who has wealth and nothing else.

Fourth. The highest interpretation of success is this, that a man should,