

three groups, etc. Do you concur in that, Prof. Hughes?

PROF. HUGHES: I see no reason why it would not be feasible. There are objections, and one is noted in the Report itself. Group C, for example, had to start its actual teaching before receiving any theoretical training. That is an objection, but there seems no way of getting around it beyond the fact that some work might be done with them, possibly, during week-ends. In any case, it is only to be three weeks, and in the first three weeks of school practice, there is a good deal of observation.

THE PRINCIPAL: So, this merely amplifies the present practice of providing for observation in rural or semi-rural communities. If there is any criticism to be launched against this particular procedure, it can be directed with equal force against the present practice.

PROF. HUGHES: Except that, under the procedure suggested, students report to the hospital.

DEAN BRITTAIN: Do you know of any place where this could be done, to take one third of the students where they could live or where schools could accommodate them? Is there any rural centre where this could be worked out?

PROF. HUGHES: I find it very hard to believe that a man like Dr. Putnam, with such wide experience, would have