

Order Paper Questions

\$000.

	1977/78	1978/79	1979/80	1980/81	April/June 1981 (3 mos)
Charlottetown	12.5	10.2	13.8	26.0	5.4
Halifax	404.0	500.8	517.9	517.1	119.0
Gander	11.0	11.4	7.1	4.8	2.3
Dorval	2235.0	2503.3	3097.0	3400.0	714.0
Mirabel	1604.4	1743.0	1717.5	1842.2	278.9
Quebec	185.0	196.0	296.3	299.4	89.8
Ottawa	555.2	672.1	763.7	829.5	200.2
Toronto	5900.0	7114.0	7858.5	9418.0*	2,236.1
Regina	136.0	159.2	195.0	232.4	45.9
Saskatoon	128.0	165.0	196.4	231.0	67.1
Winnipeg	545.0	656.8	741.3	802.5	181.6
Calgary	1420.0	1030.0	1982.1	1955.0	562.0
Edmonton	662.0	670.0	751.2	1410.2	510.0
Vancouver	3200.0+	2316.8	2491.0	2973.0	746.7

2(b), (ii) For each year from 1978 to June 30, 1981, the actual revenue was:

\$000.

	1977/78	1978/79	1979/80	1980/81	April/June 1981 (3 mos)
Charlottetown	11.1	12.6	16.4	30.4	4.6
Halifax	387.5	408.2	498.5	576.6	87.8
Gander	6.4	Nil	10.4	10.0	6.0
Dorval	2574.4	2737.4	2888.8	3010.2	554.4
Mirabel	1713.2	1807.1	1602.3	1625.2	343.3
Quebec	165.7	277.5	320.6	415.8	98.8
Ottawa	619.0	700.1	766.2	854.2	218.4
Toronto	6557.7	7307.1	8460.7	8157.9**	2366.5
Regina	153.9	181.2	199.7	217.1	62.2
Saskatoon	156.2	190.6	220.2	282.6	56.7
Winnipeg	642.1	706.6	738.2	722.9	143.6
Calgary	1074.5	1791.9	2156.6	2268.7	560.1
Edmonton	640.9	841.7	1204.4	1645.6	384.3
Vancouver	2048.2	2323.6	2757.0	3196.7	731.7

+ This forecast was overestimated.

* The forecast was estimated on the basis of passenger volume only.

**The actual revenue was lower than 1979/80 due to lower passenger volume and new competition from off-airport operations (park and fly).

3. The average rate of increase of the parking fees (a) across the board is 24.4 per cent and (b) for (i) metered parking is 8.7 per cent, (ii) short-term parking lot is 20 per cent, (iii) an unattended lot controlled by automatic devices is 46.1 per cent, (iv) at a parking structure of building at which the services of an attendant are provided is 33.6 per cent, and (vi) an area designated by the Department of Transport as a remote public parking lot is 30 per cent.

NUCLEAR RADIATION RESEARCH

Question No. 3,027—Mr. Cossitt:

1. Does the government intend to carry out experiments with nuclear radiation on dogs that would induce retching and vomiting and, if so (a) what are all the reasons for the experiments and what is the name and job designation of the highest-ranking person authorizing the experiments (b) will the treatment result in the dogs dying an agonizing death?

2. Does the government have a policy of humane treatment to animals and, if so, how does it justify the experiments?

Mrs. Ursula Appolloni (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence): 1 and 2. See response to question

No. 3,273 answered on December 17, 1981, and published in *Hansard* No. 279, page 14,164.

FARM CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS TO CABINET MINISTERS

Question No. 3,215—Mr. Beatty:

Did any cabinet minister, while holding a portfolio, directly or indirectly receive loans from the Farm Credit Corporation and, if so, in each case (a) what was his/her name (b) what was the value of the loan (c) on what date was the loan (i) made (ii) repaid?

Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture): Farm Credit Corporation advises that since the opening of the first session of the Thirtieth Parliament:

(a), (b), (c), (i), (ii) None. Records on loans are retained for the duration of each loan plus an additional five-year period.

[English]

Madam Speaker: The questions enumerated by the parliamentary secretary have been answered.