

Committee Reports

concerned about and what is really bothering them. What has really got them upset is an inflation rate of 12.2 per cent. Their money seems to be disappearing; the purchasing power is no longer there. Yet this government wants to make sure that we on this side are not given an opportunity to voice the opinions of our constituents on what is happening to the value of their money.

When we look around at the real estate market, we see that in the major cities of this country people are bidding up the price of real estate unrealistically. All of that is caused by the kind of monetary policy that has been produced by this government. We are not allowed to bring the concerns of the people before this House of Commons because the government does not want to hear people's opinions about the way they have managed the economy of this country.

This is a disgraceful motion that my friend, the Minister of State for Finance (Mr. Bussi eres) before us today. It is a disgraceful one. It is a motion Madam Speaker—

Madam Speaker: The hon. member for Nepean-Carleton (Mr. Baker).

* * *

ALTERNATIVE ENERGY AND OIL SUBSTITUTION AND NATIONAL TRADING CORPORATION

CONCURRENCE IN REPORTS OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Mr. Baker (Nepean-Carleton): There have been some discussions amongst us with respect to the two reports, the report of the Special Committee on Alternative Energy and Oil Substitution that was tabled by the hon. member for Pontiac-Gatineau-Labelle (Mr. Lefebvre) and also, standing in the name of the hon. member for Parkdale-High Park (Mr. Flis) of the Special Committee on the National Trading Corporation which is now on the Order Paper.

I would hope, Madam Speaker, that when we return from the other place, we could have a few moments to have a motion for concurrence on both those reports, on the understanding that it would be done without debate.

Madam Speaker: Is that agreed?

Mr. Collenette: Madam Speaker, it is the understanding on the government side that these two reports would be concurred in without debate immediately following our return from the Senate.

Mr. Knowles: That is agreed, Madam Speaker.

Mr. Lefebvre: Do it now.

Mr. Baker (Nepean-Carleton): Suggestions have come from some hon. members that we do it now, Madam Speaker, and that we would all be agreeable. It would be a very short proceeding.

Mr. Lefebvre moved that the second report of the Standing Committee on Alternative Energy and Oil Substitution presented earlier this day be concurred in.

Mr. Marcel Roy (for Mr. Flis) moved that the third report of the Special Committee on the National Trading Corporation, presented to the House on Monday, March 23, be concurred in.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent that the two reports mentioned by the hon. member for Nepean-Carleton (Mr. Baker) be concurred in?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Madam Speaker: Agreed and so ordered.

* * *

THE ROYAL ASSENT

Madam Speaker: I have the honour to inform you that a communication has been received, which is as follows:

Ottawa, March 31, 1981

Madam,

I have the honour to inform you that the Honourable Antonio Lamer, Puisne Judge of the Supreme Court of Canada, in his capacity as Deputy Governor General, will proceed to the Senate Chamber today, the 31st day of March, at 9.45 p.m., for the purpose of giving Royal Assent to certain bills.

I have the honour to be,
Madam,
Your obedient servant,
Edmond Joly de Lotbini ere

● (2200)

[Translation]

A message was delivered by the Gentleman Usher of the Black Rod, as follows:

Madam Speaker, the Honourable Deputy to the Governor General desires the immediate attendance of this honourable House in the chamber of the honourable the Senate.