

Mr. McGrath: What is the motion?

Mr. Jerome: The motion for the production of papers.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. The Chair must determine whether there is unanimous consent in respect of the suggestion made by the hon. member for Peace River. If there is no consent the Chair will notice the clock.

Mr. Orlikow: On that point, Mr. Speaker, in view of the importance of the debate taking place, I have no objection to having my motion set over for another week.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Apparently there is not unanimous consent.

Mr. Lewis: Let us take another ten minutes.

Mr. Boulanger: How do we know how long it will take?

Mr. Jerome: If only one speech is involved we are prepared to accept the suggestion in order to get it on the record. That being the case we are satisfied.

Mr. Lewis: Perhaps the hon. member for Peace River would agree to a time limit.

Mr. Baldwin: Yes.

Mr. Lewis: Perhaps we could take just another 15 minutes, and then go on to private members' hour whether or not the argument is completed.

Mr. Jerome: An extension of 15 minutes would be satisfactory.

Mr. Boulanger: I do not want to disagree with the parliamentary secretary, but I think five minutes should be sufficient. If we do not limit it, then under the rules we cannot stop anyone from getting up and speaking. I think five minutes should be the limit, if we extend the time at all.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It appears to the Chair that there is no consent.

Mr. Jerome: Perhaps a compromise on a ten-minute extension.

Mr. Boulanger: All right, ten minutes.

• (5:00 p.m.)

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: The hon. member for Calgary North (Mr. Woolliams)—Air Transport—Hijacking—Parliamentary ratification of Hague Convention—Amendments to Crimi-

Unemployment Insurance

nal Code; the hon. member for Marquette (Mr. Stewart)—National Parks—Riding Mountain—Closure of church camps.

MOTION TO ADJOURN UNDER S.O. 26

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

EMERGENCY AID TO PERSONS NO LONGER ABLE TO DRAW BENEFITS

Mr. Speaker: Order. Before we proceed to private member's business perhaps I might be given an opportunity to refer to the motion proposed by the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby (Mr. Broadbent) this afternoon. I indicated to him that I would take the matter under advisement, and I said to him and to the House that I would be guided to some extent at least by what might transpire during the proceedings in the afternoon. I am not sure whether or not that was a very good guide by which to reach any decision, but I suggest to hon. members the Chair has been helped in this decision in this regard. The hon. member's motion was different from the two which had been proposed by the hon. member for Prince Edward-Hastings (Mr. Hees) and by the hon. member for York South (Mr. Lewis) in that it referred specifically to a certain aspect of the unemployment situation, seasonal benefits.

Actually, it is a two-headed motion, part of which deals with the unemployment situation in general. I believe in respect of this aspect of the motion what I said in making a ruling after hearing the hon. member for York South and the hon. member for Prince Edward-Hastings would apply. I wondered whether a good case might be made for an emergency debate on the other aspect of this motion under Standing Order 26. I have come to the conclusion that perhaps the circumstances might be somewhat different in view of the developments later in the afternoon during the question period, namely, that in answer to two or three questions asked by the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby the minister indicated that he would look into the situation and make the statement on Tuesday. I assume this would be a statement on motions. It was also announced that there would be two supply days next week, on Tuesday and Friday. When we look at the opportunity for debate, I would think it would be very difficult for the Chair to suggest that there would not be an opportunity for the consideration or debate of such an important matter as the one raised by the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby, and indeed the matter raised by the other two hon. members.

In the circumstances, I think it might be wise to allow the matter to stand in so far as Standing Order 26 is concerned and to make a ruling that the motion cannot be put at this time.

It being five o'clock, the House will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's Order Paper, namely private bills, notices of motions (Papers) and public bills.