

FISCAL YEAR 1985/1986

1. O'Melveny, Myers: a contract for Cdn \$139,000 was signed by the Justice Department for this law firm to advise the Government on the countervail duty case brought against Canadian exports of certain species of Canadian groundfish into the United States.
2. Sutherland, Asbill and Brennan: a contract for the period September 11, 1985 to May 31, 1986 was entered into with this law firm to have it provide legal advice on aspects of the extraterritorial application of U.S. law (US \$25,000).
3. Sutherland, Asbill and Brennan: the firm was engaged for a fee of up to US \$4,200 to provide legal advice on the issue of compulsory pilotage on the Great Lakes.
4. Cameron, Horbostel and Buttermann: this Washington law firm was hired to provide legal advice, under two separate contracts, on:
 - (a) the banning of some U.S. states of imports of Canadian hogs and pork products which had been treated with the chemical chloremphenicol (US \$6,000 maximum fee); and
 - (b) the trade law action mounted against imports of Canadian raspberries (Canadian \$9,500 maximum fee).
5. Professor Andreas Lowenfeld: a contract providing for a total payment of up to US \$3,500 was entered into for the provision of legal advice on certain aspects of the negotiation of a new bilateral air agreement with the United States.
6. Arnold and Porter: this large Washington law firm was engaged to provide legal advice, under separate contracts, on:
 - (a) the banning by certain states of imports of Canadian hogs and pork products treated with the chemical chloremphenicol (for up to US \$7,500); and
 - (b) the potential susceptibility of the Canadian aircraft industry to the filing of a countervailing duty case in the USA.
7. Wald, Harkrader and Ross: the Washington law firm was engaged for US \$7,800 to do work on the amicus curiae brief to the Supreme Court on the Matsushita vs Zenith case.