

PRIORITIES WITH THE CENTRE, AND IT MUST BE SEEN TO CO-EXIST WITH, RATHER THAN COMPETE AGAINST, OTHER EQUALLY DESERVING AND COMPELLING PRIORITIES. OUR RECOMMENDATION HERE IS THAT THE FUNDING AND RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS OF THE WORLD CAMPAIGN BE CLEARLY LAID OUT IN THE FUTURE, FOR EXAMINATION BY THE COMMISSION. IN THIS WAY, THE PROGRESS OF THE CAMPAIGN CAN BE CLEARLY ASSESSED, AND RESOURCE NEEDS CAN BE WEIGHED CAREFULLY AGAINST OTHER OBJECTIVES WHICH HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AND DIFFERENT PROGRAMS WHICH HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED.

WHILE CANADA HAS A NUMBER OF OTHER OBSERVATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE WORLD CAMPAIGN, TIME DOES NOT PERMIT A FULLER PRESENTATION OF VIEWS. OUR SUGGESTION IS THAT THE UNDER-SECRETARY-GENERAL PURSUE INFORMAL CONSULTATIONS WITH ALL REGIONAL GROUPS OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR, IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY A MULTI-FACETED PROGRAM WHICH CAN FORM THE BASIS OF COMMISSION DISCUSSIONS. CLEARLY, THIS IS AN IMPORTANT, INNOVATIVE PROGRAM IN WHICH ALL MEMBERS OF THE U.N. COMMUNITY SHOULD TAKE AN INTEREST.

IF I COULD TURN FOR A MOMENT TO OTHER THEMES UNDER THIS AGENDA ITEM, ONE CENTRAL THOUGHT COMES TO MIND. WHEN THIS ITEM WAS CREATED, THE CENTRAL QUESTION UNDER-PINNING COMMISSION DELIBERATIONS WAS ONE OF IMPLEMENTATION. WHAT WERE WE TO DO WITH THE STANDARDS DEVELOPED OVER THE FIRST FORTY YEARS OF THIS ORGANIZATION? HOW DO WE RECONCILE THE COMPETING AND OFTEN CONTRADICTORY MECHANISMS DEVELOPED TO GIVE EFFECT TO OUR