So that the whole question in this case is whether the muskrats which these defendants took were killed upon and taken from the plaintiff's land. One of the defendants says that five of them were killed upon and taken from Pamment's marsh; the other defendant says ten, of the two hundred that he iook, were taken and killed upon Panment's marsh. C'ntil this year, apparently, the plaintiff did not objeat to hunters going upon his land and taking game; and so it may be that if he had not given notice to these defendants they ought not to be held answerable to him in damages: it may be that, under those circumstances, it ought to be considered he had given a tacit consent, a tarit license, to hunt. But there is nothing of that kind now applicable. The plaintiff put up notices, which these defendants saw, forbidding hunting: and more than that told each of them that they must not trespass upon his land. But they did in definnee of his righte a thing that was very unwise to do. They knew the plaintiff owned that farm. Now they rome here with a legal pretence that his patent does not cover his mash. the marsh that they cail lamment's marsh, a marsh that arervonecalls Pamment's marsh; and the mansh that they call Whittington's marsh and that everybody else calls Whittington's marsh. It does semon to me to be ide to contend that the reservation in the patent of the "inlet" which has bern walled by some Steanboat Creen extends not only to steamboat ('reek but to the whole of these marshes, over more than a guater of all the lamds that were patented to the phantif Pamment and to Whittington or their pretecessors in title. The lands are clearly defined in the Crown grant and in the deeds to these farmers, and the lines in question run plainly from the adjoining farms back to the river both north and south. They camot go to the river if the contention made for these defendants is ripht, becuase the "inlet," ineluding these marches, intervenes and prevents. What was meant by that roservation is perfectly obvious. The Gomalee River was or was to berome a navigable river a baekwater in that river which is now called by sone "\$wamhoat ('reek" might becone very useful for navigable purposes, a piace where a boat might run in out of the current and be moored. lees might be made for very obvious purpose of the navigable waters of the intet, but beyond that a reservation would have been senseless. Its usvigability extends up from the river only from 150 to 250 yards according to the different viess of the different witnesges; and yet it is contended that the Crown rights extend up all through thow marohes to high water mark a thing that sems to me to be without reason, taking awyy marly hall of Whittington's farm, aceording to the arcoue and arcording to moasurement of the land, that is, aceord-

