DIGEST OF THE ENGLISH LAW REPORTS.

LIFE INSURANCE.—See AMALGAMATION OF COM-

LIMITATIONS, STATUTE OF. -- Sec STATUTE OF

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION.

The declaration set forth that the defendants falsely and maliciously wrote and published a certain notice, requiring the plaintiff, under the Insolvent Act of Canada, to make an assignment of his property for the benefit of his creditors, as certain promissory notes on which the plaintiff was liable to the defendants and others had long been overdue, and were unpaid. In another count, it was complained that the defendants maliciously, and without probable cause, had the plaintiff arrested, in a suit on certain promissory notes indorsed to the defendants by the plaintiff, on the ground that he was about to leave the country; when the court subsequently found that he was not about to leave the country, and ordered his discharge. The defendants replied to the first count, that the notice in question was true, and was not published, except to the plaintiff. To the last count they replied simply, that the note was long due, and that they had been informed, and believed, the plaintiff intended The court ruled, that, unless the defendants believed that they would lose their debt unless they had the defendant arrested, or if they acted with the idea of protecting other indorsers who might otherwise be liable to them, there would be evidence of want of reasonable cause for the arrest sufficient to justify damages. Held, error in the charge, and that the said notice was a legal proceeding, and prima facie privileged.—Bank of British North America v. Strong, 1 App. Cas.

See FORCIBLE ENTRY.

MARINE INSURANCE.

1. The brig Jessie, from Falmouth, arrived at Mazagan, in Morocco, Dec. 27, 1874. Jan. 1, 1875, she was driven from her moorings in a gale, and lost her anchor. On the 9th, the captain wrote the plaintiff, who was owner. but said nothing about the loss of the anchor. The letter reached the plaintiff on the 24th, and, just a month later, the plaintiff, having had no further news of the vessel, had her insured in the defendant company, "lost or not lost." He said to the company's agent, "I do not know when she was ready to sail; I have not had the sailing letter yet." The usual time for loading at Mazagan was fifteen to twenty days, and for the voyage home, twenty-five to thirty, and the course of the Post was irregular. After verdict for plaintiff, a motion to enter verdict for defendants, on the ground that the failure by the captain to mention the loss of the anchor constituted a material concealment, was refused. Quare, if a failure to communicate such a fact forms a defence, unless fraudulent.—Stribley v. Imperial Marine Ins. Co., 1 Q. B. D. 507.

Marriage Settlement.

Where a husband, by a post-nuptial settle-

ment, made a covenant to settle on his wife any property to which she was, or during the marriage should become, entitled, it was held that a fund in court, then contingent, and which came into possession after her death, was included.—Agar v. George, 2 Ch. D. 706.

MARSHALLING ASSETS.

Testator made several pecuniary legacies, and devised a specific real estate to one son, and the residuary real estate to another. There was not enough personalty to pay the debts beside the legacies. *Held*, that the pecuniary legacies must be exhausted in making up the deficiency before resorting to the real estate.—*Farquharson v. Floyer*, 3 Ch. D. 109.

MASTER AND SERVANT.

1. The defendants employed the plaintiff with other workmen, and also a st-am-engine, with an engineer, in sinking a shaft in their colliery. When the work was partly done they employed W., under a verbal contract, to finish it. W. was to employ and pay the plaintiff and the other workmen. The engine and engineer were under his control, but the engineer's wages were to be paid by the defendants. The plaintiff was injured through the negligence of the engineer. Held, that the defendants were not liable.—Rourke v. The White Moss Colliery Co., 1 C. P. D. 556.

2. The S. Club, composed of persons interested in agriculture, made an agreement with the defendant company for the use of the company's hall for their annual shows. By this agreement the hall was, during the times of the shows, at the entire disposal of the club. The company was to provide accommodation for the stock and things exhibited, and provide and pay a sufficient body of men to do all the work about the show, and who should be under the exclusive control of the club. The company was to pay £1,000 to the club at each show, and be at liberty to charge and receive an admission fee of 1s. The club was to have entire and exclusive control of the show while it was in progress. The club contracted with one S. to see to admitting the stock, &c., at the gate, to its disposition, and to its delivery. He admitted and delivered on orders signed by the club, and was paid in the lump for the whole job. Plaintiff bought some sheep of an exhibitor at the show, and got an order to S. for their delivery. S. delivered him other sheep in place of his own. Held, that the defendant company was not liable. - Goslin v. The Agricultural Hall Co., 1 C. P. D. 483.

3. Contract in writing, as follows: "I hereby accept the command of the ship C. C., on the following terms: Salary to be at and after the rate of £180 per annum." "Should owners require captain to leave the ship abroad, his wages to cease on the day he is required to give up the command; and the owners have the option of paying or not paying his expenses travelling home." "Wages to begin when captain joins ship." The captain was dismissed, not for misconduct, but without notice. Held, that the captain was