pointed teeth are comparable to the Sewanee form of W. undulata. It is worthy of note in this connection that the collections from the Upper Lykens division at the Lincoln mines in the Southern Anthracite coal fields of Pennsylvania contain a Whit. eya form1 whose narrowest leaves are so similar in size and character to that described above from St. John as to suggest slight doubt as to the validity of a specific separation for the Pennsylvania type, although the other associated leaves of the same plant are proportionately very much broader and somewhat longer.

From the foregoing it will be seen that the Whittleseyæ thus far discovered in the Riversdale and Lancaster formations pertain to the group with numerous more compact nerve fascicles and broader proportions, in general characteristic of the Upper Pottsville, rather than to the linear group,2 with comparatively few bands and large teeth, which prevails in the lower portions of the Pottsville in the Appalachian province.

The genus Whittlescya is regarded by most palæobotanists as a gymnospermous type, although some difference of opinion exists as to its position among the gymnosperms. As originally published by Dr. Newberry,3 it was described as perhaps pinnate, and compared with various genera, not all gymnospermic, without suggestion of a definite relationship with any family. By Lesquereux,4 and Sir William Dawson,5 it was referred to the Næggerathiaceæ, a family typified by Næggerathia. The latter genus, the original species of which resembles Archaopteris, is now generally considered as most closely allied to the Cycads, though some writers have classed it among the ferns. Schenck,6 in 1884, placed the Whittleseyæ in the Dolerophylleæ, whose type genus Dolerophyllum was put by Dawson in the Næggerathiacæ.

Almost simultaneously, in 1885, in two important palæobotan ical works published by Saporta,7 and Renault,8 Whittleseva

Whittleseya elegans Newb., var. miner D. W., 20th Ann. Rept. U. S.

Geol. Surv., Pt. II, 1900, pp. 788, 904.
² Whittleseva Campbelli D. W., op. cit., p. 905, pl. CXL, figs. 9-11; and Whittleseya Lescuriana D. W., op. cit., p. 867 (description not yet pub-

Annals of Science, vol. 1, Cleveland, 1853, p. 116.

Coal Flora, vol. 11, 1880, p. 523. Principles of Palæozoic Palæobotany,

^{1883,} p. 97.
⁵ Can. Rec. Sci., vol. IV, No. 1, 1890, pp. 26, 27. In Zittel's Handb. d. Palæont., vol. II, p. 253.

Évol. règ. vég., Phanérog., vol. I, p. 144. Cours Bot. Foss., vol. IV, p. 69.