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 anornded hy repralins solvortion in 3, the followine epas applinatian male to it by the mepasy, to miey it, temporanily of Aher*ine frum erertine and masistaving
 oletw the raisay paties throsigh any basit, sork sork. and struetares are "b White fir pailsay is beine
 efletive mexures to pervent catile and whet animals ewapine from soch es. dased lands
Sections 634 and eas are repealed and the followieg sulatituted
the foll valop thereof to the ewser of all the foll value thereof to the owner of all horses, oherph swine or other eattle company, fands through the operstion or isjury is eanued by reason of any perwos (a) failing to kerp the goter at any
farn crmaing, st rarl sile of the railuay farns crosionz, st each side of the railany closel, whes not
(b) leaving open any rate on either wide
f the railway provided for the wto of any of the rail way provided for the wese of any
farmine crosing, witheut some rumpetent farmi crossing, witheut some rumpetent
person being at or near suel gate to prevent animaly pasing through surt gate os to the railmay: er

- e) ether than an efficet, contrarton of empleyee of the company, takisg down any part of the railway fence; of
(d) turning any animal upoh of within the enelosure of any tailway company; (e) except as authorized by this Aet, riding, leading of driving sny snimal, milway and within the fences and guarrls thereol:
(f) leaving the gate of gaten of the
cempany at railsay ofations of company at railsay statiot
the convenience of the public
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## The Grain Bill

Prese Gallery, Ottaws, Mareb 31

The senate committee on the grais bill wheluiled the taking of evilewer en Wedsesilay, and tonday derided to revom. Wrad the eliminatives of welmer im provilling that No person ewning managing. operating of otherwise inter shall hay an wefl rrais of te levater inall any whyt form of storame of rraine and also clation (a) of section ite, pro and alse clawsery

## faction les.

The mothon to strike out these provi. and an amendment moved by Nenator Tallint in favor of placing all the terminal ompanies wns defeated, Kesator Ioavi alone supporting Sonator Tallost Krna tor Dosglas, however, voted with thes two gentlemen against the motion to strike out the clauses, and the minotity of three will

## Cartwright Favers Clauses

It is not expected that the recommendations of the enmmittee will have much the government leader in the senate. committee, remarked that they were the result of very careful consideration by the
government, and as Sir Hichard and the officers of his department were doabtless in possession of all the facts hrought out by the evidence, it is not likely even though the repoirt of the committee is adverse to the clanses, that he will censent to their elimination. The senate how ever, being composed chiefly oforich men, is naturally sympathetic to to spoil the effectiveness of the bill will to spoil the effectiv
doubtless be made.

## The chief evidrnce taken this werk way

$\qquad$ chation, in reply to the arguments that of the present owners of the terminals.
which is given below. The mayors of Fort William and Port Arthur and Major William, aloo appeated before the committee and supported the cause of the the event of free trade in wheat the enforcement of the proposed law wouli cause the diversion of grain to Duluth biliged to conclude its lahors without aken ill after coming to the city for the urpose of a ppearing before the committes the terminals which led to the Fompire and Wort Arthur Elevator and Consoli-
ated companies being fined 85,500
ar making false returns, is, however,
a traile sand ewmmerve, and are wrmerally arcepted as sultielest proof of the chatgry of masing ani manipulation olich have of the terminala.

## Mr. MeKenrie's Statemelht

## The statement soblrmitted to the menat

 commitlee by Mr. Mckromer Mr. Chairman and memblere of the commitier: In turiher reference tar tilf statement that I have slrvady made,eiving reawns why the slaws, ensler civing reawns why the flaused unaler grain bill, let me point out that the purpose previsuons is ta create as rnertition that would permit of the grain pendaewd in Western Cansida being placed on the eonsuming markets in the beat powsible condition, and in sueh a way that it
will rymmsnol the highest price in comwill command the highest price in competition with grain from all other The position has been taken by those epposed to these clanses that if carried
into effert their tendency would be to into effert their teniency wotid be te
place obstarles in the may, of the frre
handling of handting of our grain. The cubjectionk
to the present condition at Fort William and Port Arthur is that grain hanillers
from interior points also warehouser the from interior points also warehouse the
grain at the lake front. I have already pointed out the peruliar position of the treminal elevators at Fort William and Port Arthur, isasmuch as they form
link in our transpontation systrm, and lisk in our transpontation systrm, an
that it becomes of vital importane that that link should lee properly proterted
Those opposed to the clauser contend
that if their method of uperation is interfered with, they will divest the grain which they purchase in the interio to other terminals. That is as mushas $t$ say that they will not subthit to ware housing their purchase, at country
points in any other terminals than those owned and operated by themarlves, if that privilege is denied them in Canada
they will avail thrmarlves of thrir own terminals at Duluth.

## Another proint that has come out

 dearly in evidence is the value the large grain operators set on owning terminalstorage, and it has been stated by the representatives of the Peavey interests representatives of the Peavey interests
that unles they owned and operated terminals they would not want to operate
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ and that undesirable situation is rapilly
being realized, and no one will deny that that should be avoided. in the grain trade is the fluctuation in the

## THBATHE AT HOME <br> 


$\$ 350 \mathrm{NLY}$ 3

Pay $\$ 5.00$ down asd \$4.00 Monthly
 aqwant

 over rasty





## WIHMPEEPM.

fluctuation in prier as whrat, and there is no artirie in rommere that should be enstable in value. This very unfortunate situstion is undoubtedly brought alout ly large monied intercats securing eontrol of terminal storage at large arwis rentrea. It has been pointed out by the Peavey trrmisals at Shiragon, Harge storage terminals at Chirason, Obaluth, Minmeapolis. Kansas cacy, Omaso, and percumulate large bocks of grain at these cumulate large bocks of grain at these
terminal elevators and to manipulate the terminal elevators and to manipulate the of one proint agsinst the other Relative Prices
Consideralile has bren said about the
relative pricrs of northers wheat in Winnipeg and Minneapolis. In this connection let me point out that whent,
like hores, has a value acrording to its class. It ans a valme according to the price of a draft horse with that of a pony, and the same difference exists betwren the value of northren hard whest as compared with the valur of soft wheats wheat has a sperial valut for blensiag purpooss, and should not be compared with other wheats which have nit such value when speaking of prices. An difference between comparative values of wheat in Winnipeg and Minneapolis option markets in Chicago, Minneapolis and Kanase fity. The real explanation is that in Minneapolis No. I Northern whent forms the base prieg, while in Thirago and'Kansas City, Kansas wheat, as northers कhas the same intrinsie value I attach heretos a statement showing the Minneapolis prives of cash wheat in year 1910, and also a statement showing prices at adjarent country point on each jarent country points on each Liverpool Sales
Considerable has been said about the
elative value of Manitoba and Duluth

