

be listed as follows: 1 trical power, 3) stage oms.

of a building that large a problem in moving people on and off the r. At least that's the floor for a stage event or dinner - but when y to say that we can proper signs are placed and the main stair e fact that a building of towers are used as was intended, this n can have good problem will be minimized.

coustics in a structure positive aspects of the building. Good ight from the concept acoustics, good layout, good sound system, he rink surface does good athletic facilties and most importantly, the fact that nothing was done sential shape of the adjustments of length, which prohibits the addition of left-out letermine the nature of features like a stage lighting system. There ical environment. At must be some negative features in all of architects went to the that, and there are indeed. However, they are few in number and do not constitute Council (NRC) with the major blunders. d NRC acoustic experts data into the computer design was reasonable. 1) the scoreclock is far too small and r factor in the acoustics inadequate. Unless you have 20-20 vision, lding is a consideration it not possible to see the scoreclock beyond ials present within the about 50 feet. Since this information is they will effect various available in several good textbooks, one d quality, especially When the building was can only assume that the clock was chosen without reference to those books. As a nents were taken of the solution, more small ones could be added e of sound within the in various locations, or a bigger one could e basis of these be purchased. culations were made as sound absorbing units" not adequate for maintaining the ice equired to bring the during the summer months. Eventually, as to acceptable values. wn, Professor Garland, machines could be increased in size. (one ents and purposes, the

is smaller than the other) cated a company which 3) The boards are not very strong. It is conceivable that they would fail at a hockey game, and it is likely that they will be strengthened in some way relatively soon 4) The dressing rooms have a perimeter of ashphalt-like boards on the floor to protect skates from the concrete floor. Skaters will notice that if a player is sitting on the wall bench, they will have to walk on to the floor with their skates to get around that player, defeating the whole purpose of these tiles. Current best practice in rinks of that size is to carpet the dressing rooms with a special single loop pile carpet which will not cut. This is not only cheaper, but the dressing rooms are much more pleasant, and certainly

For the spectator of an event, the

I have discussed many of the very

The ones that stand out in my mind are:

2) The ice-making plant is PROBABLY

a solution, one of the two ice-making

entrances and exits are more than adequate and convenient. These exists are

> covering on the ice, yet there is no other choice. "Rink-Tex" is not intended for non-athletic uses, but there will be no choice but to use it. It seems likely that on the long term, it will have to be supplemented with another covering.

So even the problems are relatively minor, and the positive aspects far out-weigh the negative.

The Aitken Centre has turned out very well. It is, I think noteworthy that it has received more student input than any other building on campus. Even more. noteworthy - that input has been listened to and acted upon. There is no doubt in my mind that neither would this be so nor that the building would have turned out so well if it had not been for the determination of the president of UNB, Dr. Anderson to make it the best building possible. It's one thing to ask for help; it's quite another to have student input listened to.

The greatest effort on constructing and getting the building in shape has come from Professor Eric Garland. Were it not for him, we would have ended up with a rink that would be as poor as the rest. The students owe a great debt of thanks



ssive devices, which JC. These devices are rical objects hanging of of the building and ,000 of them.

the building has the teristics of a low e, and flat response. , and it doesn't sound

nall problem with the the boards causing d on the rink level, but with good sound system

iderations of electrical t can be said that AUC ng that is put in there difficulty. The dressing e for the dual purposes concert dressing rooms which I will mention to these two. I also should mention three other people who had a great deal to do with the success of the design. Firstly, from the athletic side of things, Dr. John Meagher has put much effort, and with good success into the design of the facility concerned with sports and sports teaching. Secondly, Mr. Doug Beairsto has put countless hours into the design of the sound system and intercom. Lastly, but by no means least, is the firm of Murray & Murray & Partners, who designed the building.

Next week: Concerts, hockey games, and economics

5) The "Rink-Tex" covering is very sensitive to staining and burning. There is no doubt in my mind that pubs and even concerts should not occur with such a

makes them more attractive for use as

concert dressing rooms.





the Aitken Centre