

made up of the five regular appeal judges, and the other of the three acting appeal judges. The list of appeals inscribed for hearing would be gone over from time to time by the chief justice of Ontario, who would assign the cases to be heard by the division of five regular judges or by the division of three acting judges according to his view of their relative importance. The division of three might sit monthly, as do the present Divisional Courts, and the division of five either quarterly or monthly, as occasion might require. The present Divisional Courts would be retained for the limited purpose of hearing appeals from inferior tribunals, as proposed by Mr. Foy. This plan, while providing only one Appellate Court for the Province, would be free from the objections which we have pointed out as applicable to the plan proposed in the government's resolution. It is a question, however, whether the evils of the present system of appeals within the Province are not more apparent than real."

---

The most amusing reading for lawyers is not the legal Joe Millerisms, but the funny things said by newspaper writers, often in our best daily journals. We have given some of these, much to the amusement of our readers. The following is from the *Montreal Star*. The writer, not knowing how funny he is, but apparently in sober earnest, thus prints his meditations on the subject of law reform now so much under discussion:—

"The sort of law reform which the people want is to get the law so written that even a layman, though he be no wiser than a lawyer, shall not err therein. It ought to be possible for a man to have the law on some particular point read over to him; and for him then to know what the law means and what he must do. He ought not to have to go to a judge to find out—and often to find out to his heavy cost. The law should be simple enough for him to understand and clear enough to be interpreted without reference to the decisions of other judges. There is enough complexity about Parliament-made law without adding to the complexity of judgment-made law."

There is a charming simplicity about this which must appeal to all. He thinks this "would save more money than the cutting