plaintiff was in Fennsylvania engaged in organizing theatrical entertainments and had so arranged her engagements that for her to leave there before June would entail great loss.

Held, that to give a notice of trial for the 4th sitting after the date of the notice is an abuse of the process of the court and the plaintiff was ordered to go to trial in March, otherwise the action to stand dismissed.

J. H. Lawson, Jr., for the summons. Cassidy, K.C., contra.

## Book Reviews.

Principles of the Common Law, by John Indermaur, Solicitor, 10th ed., by the author and Charles Thwaites, Solicitor. London: Stevens & Haynes, Law Publishers, Bell Yard, Temple Bar, 1904. Pages, 598. Price, \$

The first edition of this well-known book was written mainly with a view to the examinations of the Incorporated Law Society, as to which the author had large experience in reading with students. That was in 1876. The 9th edition went out of print in a little more than two years, rendering necessary the present 10th edition. We may well believe that "no pains have been spared to bring the work thoroughly and completely up to date." We need say no more about such a well-known book.

Imperatoris Justiniani Institutionum Libri Quatuor, with introductions, commentary, and excursus, by J. B. Moyle, D.C.L., of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister-at law: Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, London; Henry Frowde, Amen Corner, and Stevens & Sons, Limited, 119 & 120 Chancery Lane, 1903.

For purposes of beginners Sandar's edition of the Institutes may be more suitable than Dr. Moyle's, but in point of learning all competent critics agree that Dr. Moyle's Institutes is facile princeps of ali editions by English annotators. It is not too much to say that it is an honor to Oxford University that one of its sons should have produced such an edition of the Institutes in a country in which the Civil Law does not prevail. Sohm's Institutes of Roman Law, admirably translated as they have been by Mr. Ledlie, can never supersede Dr. Moyle's work.

The historical introduction and the excursus upon such subjects as Scrvitude, Possession, Agency, and the early history of Roman Civil Procedure, with the frequent and apt citations from the Digest contained in the notes, are especially valuable features of this book; and the introduction, excursus, and notes have been carefully revised.

We observe that in his note to this edition Dr. Moyle specially reters to Professor Girard's Manual Elementaire de Droit Romain, and cites that work from time to time in his notes. Dr. Moyle says of it, that it is "A