

to every institution and class of men that may apply for such legislation. Is there anything wrong in that? Can there be any difficulty in granting these powers to an association which is known to be loyal to the Crown? Loyalty is one of their fundamental principles. I do not think, judging from the array of names that appear as corporators to that Bill, that you can hesitate for one moment to place in their hands the powers they ask for.

HON. MR. POWER—They were not loyal to the Prince of Wales.

HON. MR. CLEWOW—They have always been loyal to the Crown of Great Britain. At that time there was a disability. The loyal Orangemen of this country consider that they have a grievance. They have asked for this Bill over and over again. Why it has been denied them I cannot tell. It is true that at one time an Act was passed in Ontario and submitted here, and the Orangemen were told that the Federal authorities had nothing to do with it, and that they had to get the consent of the local authorities in Ontario. That was refused, and that was another grievance. Now we want to try to remove this grievance. I believe that the passage of this Bill will have the same effect throughout the Dominion that the incorporation of the order has had in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. This legislation is necessary, because this body is supreme, and the provincial bodies are subject to them, and therefore they require to have one grand controlling power over the other minor bodies. I am very glad to hear from my hon. friend from Ottawa that he at last recognizes the fact that there has been a change in the sentiments of the people of this country, and that they are willing to render substantial justice to people who have been denied it for many years. I would remind him that he has himself received more assistance from the Orangemen of this section than any other politician that I know of. We supported him when he advocated the adoption of the separate school system, and I ran great risk myself in supporting him as the representative of this city because he voted as a majority of the people here thought he should not have voted on that question. Reference has been made to the difference in the treatment accorded the minority in

Quebec as compared with the minority of Ontario. I think we are just as lenient to the minority in this Province as the majority are to the minority in Quebec. There is no difference in that respect at all. We want to try to efface those differences altogether, and to become a united people. I believe that this Bill will do more to accomplish that result than any measure that has been before Parliament up to the present time. I hope the House will pass this measure and give this body of men the recognition that I think they are entitled to, and which they should have received long ago at the hands of the Dominion Parliament. I am very glad that the discussion is at an end, and that there has been nothing of an irritating character said in the course of the debate. I should like to have the Bill passed without a vote, but if a vote must be taken I hope the measure will be sustained by a large majority.

The Senate divided on the motion, which was agreed to by the following vote:—

CONTENTS :

Hon. Messrs.

Abbott,	Macdonald (B.C.),
Archibald,	Macfarlane,
Botsford,	MacInnes (Burlington),
Boulton,	Merner,
Clemow,	Montgomery,
Drummond,	Odell,
Glazier,	Perley,
Grant,	Prowse,
Kaulbach,	Read (Quinté),
Lougheed,	Reesor,
McCallum,	Reid (Cariboo),
McClelan,	Sanford,
McInnes (B.C.),	Stevens,
McKay,	Sutherland,
McKindsey,	Vidal,
McMillan,	Wark.—32.

NON-CONTENTS :

Hon. Messrs.

Armand,	Haythorne,
Baillargeon,	Howlan,
Bellerose,	Lewin,
Bolduc,	Murphy,
Casgrain,	O'Donohoe,
Chaffers,	Pâquet,
DeBlois,	Pelletier,
Dever,	Power,
Girard,	Robitaille,
Guévremont,	Scott.—20.

HON. MR. LACOSTE announced that he had paired with Mr. Dickey.

HON. MR. SULLIVAN announced that he had paired with Mr. Flint.

The Bill was then read the second time.