

Private Bills—Divorce

Mr. Erhart Regier (Burnaby-Coquitlam): Mr. Speaker, on this particular bill I move, seconded by the hon. member for Comox-Alberni (Mr. Barnett):

Stuart (Charlotte)	White (Hastings-Frontenac)
Thatcher	White (Middlesex East)
Tucker	White (Waterloo South)—88.
Tustin	
Weaver	
Weir	

That Bill No. 231 be not now read the second time, but that the subject matter thereof be referred to the standing committee on miscellaneous private bills.

Mr. Speaker: I declare the amendment lost. Shall the main motion carry?

The house divided on the amendment (Mr. Regier) which was negated on the following division:

Mr. Knowles: On division.

Mr. Speaker: Motion agreed to on division.

Motion agreed to on division, bill read the second time and referred to the standing committee on miscellaneous private bills.

YEAS

Messrs:

Argue	Knight
Balcer	Knowles
Barnett	Low
Blackmore	McCullough (Moose Mountain)
Bryce	McLeod
Bryson	Patterson
Cameron (Nanaimo)	Perron
Campbell	Poulin
Ellis	Quelch
Fulton	Regier
Gagnon	Shaw
Girard	Smith (Battle River-Camrose)
Hahn	Stewart (Winnipeg North)
Hansell	Thomas
Herridge	Yuill
Holowach	Zaplitny—35.
Johnson (Kindersley)	
Johnston (Bow River)	
Jones	

JOHN MASSON GARLAND

Mr. W. J. Henderson (Kingston) moved the second reading of Bill No. 232, for the relief of John Masson Garland.

Mr. Claude Ellis (Regina City): Mr. Speaker, this bill contains some rather unusual evidence obtained in a rather questionable manner.

If one were to read the evidence submitted to the committee one would learn that most of the evidence was obtained by wiring a room through the use of a tape recorder or dictaphone equipment of some type. Under these circumstances I feel that this bill should go to the committee before second reading and therefore I move, seconded by the hon. member for Humboldt-Melfort (Mr. Bryson):

That Bill No. 232 be not now read a second time, but that the subject matter thereof be referred to the standing committee on miscellaneous private bills.

Mr. Speaker: Is the house ready for the question?

Some hon. Members: Yes.

The house divided on the amendment (Mr. Ellis) which was negated on the following division:

NAYS

Messrs:

Aitken, Miss	James
Applewhaite	Kirk (Antigonish-Guysborough)
Ashbourne	Kirk (Shelburne-Yarmouth-Clare)
Balcom	Lennard
Batten	Lusby
Bell	MacKenzie
Benidickson	MacNaught
Bennett	Macnaughton
Blair	McBain
Brooks	McCubbin
Brown (Essex West)	McCulloch (Pictou)
Buchanan	McIlraith
Byrne	McIvor
Cardiff	McMillan
Carter	Mang
Cavers	Marler
Charlton	Matheson
Churchill	Mitchell (Sudbury)
Decore	Monteith
Denis	Montgomery
Dinsdale	Nixon
Fairclough, Mrs.	Pallett
Fairey	Philpott
Fleming	Pickersgill
Follwell	Pommer
Fraser (Peterborough)	Proudfoot
Garson	Purdy
Green	Rea
Gregg	Reinke
Hamilton (York West)	Richardson
Harkness	Robertson
Harris	Robinson (Simcoe East)
Harrison	Rowe
Hellyer	Schneider
Henderson	Simmons
Hodgson	Sinclair
Hosking	Stanton
Houck	Starr
Howe (Wellington-Huron)	Stick
Huffman	

YEAS

Messrs:

Argue	Knowles
Balcer	Low
Barnett	McCullough (Moose Mountain)
Blackmore	Patterson
Bryce	Perron
Bryson	Poulin
Cameron (Nanaimo)	Quelch
Campbell	Regier
Ellis	Shaw
Gagnon	Smith (Battle River-Camrose)
Girard	Stewart (Winnipeg North)
Hansell	Thomas
Herridge	Yuill
Holowach	Zaplitny—32.
Johnson (Kindersley)	
Johnston (Bow River)	
Jones	
Knight	