

body were in the eye. On turning out the right lower eyelid, all that was revealed to sight was a slight redness of the conjunctiva. But there was something in the way in which the sensation of a foreign body in the eye was exaggerated that made me suspect he had a single inverted eyelash. Ordinarily he felt as if some irritant was there which was tolerable, but suddenly there would be a cramp-like action of the eyelid, the irritation would grow rapidly worse, and the eye would fill with tears, followed by the discharge of a little mucus, and temporary relief. His beard was of a sandy color, his hair was light brown, and his eyelashes were almost colorless. I looked very carefully along the edges of the lids in search of inverted eyelashes, and saw, on the innermost edge of the lower lid, a slight curving of the inner angle. By allowing a tear to gather upon this inner edge, I saw there was a difference in refraction in different portions of the tear, and it soon became evident that a delicate decolorised eyelash was there, which, instead of growing from the outer edge of the lid, sprang from the free edge of its inner border. I turned the lid over, and found that this delicate eyelash, which was between the edge of the lid and the eyeball, had been so long caught in that position that it had worn a little groove in the edge of the eyelid; the spasmodic action of the orbicularis, from time to time, so long continued, had embedded the eyelash in the substance of the lid. I removed it, and no further trouble was experienced. This patient had been treated in Europe for acute conjunctivitis several times, and it is possible that the eyelash was on those occasions the cause of all the trouble. An operation will be required to destroy the follicle which produced the misplaced eyelash. So, when a patient comes to you complaining of a sensation as though there were a foreign body in the eye, between the eyelids and the eyeball, you must first look for conjunctivitis. Whether this be present or not, you should then proceed to examine the eye very carefully to see whether a foreign body be present or not. Scan carefully the whole surface of the cornea and of the scleral conjunctiva, and then turn over the upper eyelid and carefully inspect its inner surface. You may then scrutinize the edges of the lids, as I have described, in order to see whether the source of the irritation be an inverted eyelash."

COMBINED VERSION IN PLACENTA PRÆVIA.—C. Behm (*Med. News*, Aug. 16, 1884) has used combined version in forty cases of placenta prævia, without a single death. This must be regarded as an extraordinarily good result for a condition which ordinarily gives a mortality of forty per cent. Hofmeier has already obtained similar results in the treatment of placenta prævia.

The operation is performed as follows: When dangerous hæmorrhage comes on the vagina should

be tamponed until the cervix is dilated. This being done, and the woman anæsthetised, the whole hand is introduced into the vagina, and two fingers into the cervix. If the membranes present, the operator endeavors to rupture them with the finger, then draws the presenting part (unless it be the buttocks) to one side, at the same time making pressure from without so as to carry the buttocks down until he can grasp a foot. This is drawn through the cervix, so that the breech acts as a tampon on the lower segment of the uterus, and the placenta is pressed against the sides of the uterus. In central implantation of the placenta the finger should be pushed through the centre.

After this version the operator waits for the spontaneous expulsion of the child, or at least complete spontaneous dilatation of the cervix, in order to complete delivery. The duration of labor after version is between one half an hour and eleven hours, the average being one or two hours.

The mortality for the children by this procedure is very great, but the chances for the mother are better. The mortality for the children is, however, no greater than by the old operation.

The causes of the great mortality of the mother under the use of the continuous tamponade is the infection through the blood and other matters adhering to the tampon.

THE USE AND ABUSE OF THE FORCEPS.—Professor Goodell made the following remarks in a recent clinical lecture (*Med. and Surg. Reporter*, June 14th): Tears of the perinæum will occur whether the physician uses the forceps or not, but in the majority of cases they come from the use of the forceps, or rather from the abuse of the forceps. Let me give a piece of advice to you as young men. When the proper time comes put on the forceps and boldly bring down the head, but when it begins to bulge the perinæum, take off the forceps. I do not think that any of you are competent to deliver the head over the perinæum with forceps. The temptation is to turn the head out too quickly. If you take off the forceps you will rarely have a bad tear, and if it does occur you will not get the blame for it. It is a very rare thing for me to end a labor with the forceps on. When the perinæum begins to bulge, I support the handles to see whether the pains are strong enough to end the labor. If so, I remove the forceps. There is such an abuse of this instrument that I sometimes think that Baudelocque was right when he said that the forceps had done more harm than good. It requires great skill and judgment to end a labor with the forceps. A physician from inexperience, or being demoralized by a long and tedious labor, is liable to use undue violence and deliver the head too quickly, or to make a traction in the wrong direction. I have myself torn the perinæum and seen many good physicians do the same. From this experience