

## Virginia Black

Virginia Black is a second-year education student majoring in secondary French. She has held many executive positions, worked with the revision and initiation of constitutions, and first became really interested in Wauneita through her tutoring of Indian students. The following represents her campaign platform:

I firmly believe, as do most girls on campus, that the time has come for Wauneita Society to examine herself and try again. After the first excitement of initiation and the formal, Wauneita fades into the background behind the usual confusion of first-year university.

Wauneita should NOT fade into the background—it should BE the background to which first-year students can turn to learn about campus organizations, etiquette, self-study, etc.

If the Big and Little Sister program is to be effective, every little sister must have a big sister who knows what is expected of her.

To those who would say that Wauneita is useless, I would point out the excellent faculty nights, service projects and formals which have been so enjoyed by their participants. Many people missed the faculty nights due to poor publicity. This is a very weak area in the present administration.

Another field in need of much improvement is organization. The little sisters who went without big sisters felt left out. Volunteers for the Glenrose were disappointed to find no one really expecting or needing them. These areas beg for organization and therefore the time of an executive who is not involved in other activities.

If Wauneita Society is to be for all women on campus then some efforts should be made to make at home the student who hails from the junior college or another university. Along this same line are many foreign students, whom many



VIRGINIA BLACK  
... for Wauneita veep

girls are eager to meet. Wauneita should be providing more opportunities for university students of all countries to come together.

Wauneita must change. She can learn from the many worthwhile projects and ideas which have gone before but she needs a vice-president who can contribute new ideas and who has the time and enthusiasm to carry them out.

In summary, a vote for Virginia means:

- CHANGE
- Publicity
- Organization
- Preservation but re-examination of purposeful traditions
- Emphasis on first-year students and students new to campus
- Payuk uche kugeyow, Kukeyow uche payuk!  
(Each for all, All for each!)

## Dolores Hutton

The concept of the Wauneita Society as one small council working for the entire female university population at once, is no longer feasible. Wauneita, in order to function effectively, must reorganize to meet the needs and interests of diverse groups. As Vice-President, I would suggest that the program must continue to include service projects (e.g. the Indian Tutoring Program) as well as intellectual, cultural and social activities (i.e. panel discussions, art displays). The Wauneita Society could also function as the center in the promotion of a cultural exchange program with International Students. Only through a wider variety of activities can the specific needs of the various women's groups be met.

Coordination of Wauneita activities could be improved by increased publicity through Wauneita Information Centers. These would be established permanently at key spots on campus. In addition, continued and if possible, increased cooperation among Wauneita Society, Women's Athletic Association, and Panhellenic Society should be encouraged.

The present Wauneita program must be fully evaluated to determine its effectiveness in orientating first year students to campus life. I know that the Wauneita Council would be most happy to receive your ideas concerning the problems Wauneita is now facing—for it is through your suggestions that an effective program may be constructed.



DOLORES HUTTON  
... for Wauneita veep

Because of the experience gained from participation in a variety of campus activities, I feel that I am capable of fulfilling the duties of Vice-President of Wauneita.

Dolores has served the campus in a variety of positions including Varsity Guest Weekend committees, Freshman Introduction Week, and Organization of Blitz Campaigns.

Also she has executive experience as President of the Panhellenic Society.

## Art Hooks

I welcome the opportunity to have my platform published. If I am elected, the following points will be my objective.

1. **Promotions**—I feel there should be a formation of a special promotions committee sponsored by the University Athletic Board, thus yielding closer liaison between the Board and athletic promotions.

This university has produced athletes of a calibre equal to or better than those of any other university in Canada, yet student support of these athletes has been almost non-existent. I feel that a lack of good promotions is a major constituent of this student apathy.

2. **Intramural Administration**—In the past our Intramural Student Director, Fraser Smith, has recommended the employment of a full-time, non-student, intramural ad-



ART HOOKS  
... for UAB president

ministrator. I fully endorse this recommendation. The intramural program of this university has been expanding at such a tremendous rate, that I feel the work load involved, is too great for a student administrator to handle.

3. **Sunday Facilities**—I feel that all physical education facilities should be made available for student use on Sundays. The equipment and facilities in the physical education building represent too great an investment to be sitting idle every Sunday. A large number of students find Sunday is probably the most convenient day for making use of these facilities.

4. **Free Admission to Athletic Events**—When a full-time student registers he or she is automatically assessed an athletic fee. It is this fee that is used to pay for the sponsorship of the athletic program in this university. I feel the student should not be assessed extra for admission to athletic events on our campus.

## Glen Manyluk

At this time I wish to make known to you my platform policy and plans for the future, if elected to the position of President of the University Athletic Board. My platform has two main points affecting both the spirit and the position of the University of Alberta in future WCIAA and national competition, at the collegiate level.

First, it is my submission to you, the student and voter, that the promotions aspect of the three big intercollegiate sports on campus, football, basketball and hockey, be placed directly under the control of the University Athletic Board, instead of the present system of having the U of A Promotions Committee doing this job.

Since the UAB provides the money and equipment for these three big sports, I feel that they would be in a better position to fully appreciate the promotion of such activity on your behalf.

Such centralization would be efficient and economical because



GLEN MANYLUK  
... for UAB president

making game times known to the student.

At the present time, only basketball seems to be paying its way.

As President, I would work to promote more interest among all students in the activities of the Golden Bears, thereby to attempt to improve the campus spirit.

Secondly, with the advent of athletic scholarships, such as are being created at the new Simon Fraser University, I feel that we could be looking into this matter here at the U of A, if we are to maintain the present high level of competitive excellence on the Canadian intercollegiate sports scene.

As President of UAB, I would stimulate such thought more fully and perhaps set up a committee to investigate the feasibility of having athletic scholarships.

In conclusion, as President I would work closely with intramural sports on campus, always in an effort to improve facilities and increase playing time. If you endorse the above policies, I would appreciate your support this Friday.

Glen Manyluk

## Full text of AASUAE statement

On Jan. 3, 1966, the AASUAE was requested by Professors Murray and Williamson of the philosophy department to investigate the termination of their appointments. Following a preliminary investigation by the academic welfare committee, the association obtained a rehearing of their cases. The tenure committee reaffirmed the original decision in the case of each man. The administration informed them that their appointments would terminate Aug. 31, 1966.

After a further investigation the academic welfare committee reported its findings and recommendations to the executive of the AASUAE. The executive notified Professors Murray and Williamson and the vice-president that the AASUAE would approve agreements to extend their appointments under appropriate conditions. Since then, the university has offered Professors Murray and Williamson terminal appointments beginning Sept. 1, 1966 and ending Aug. 31, 1967.

The terms of the offer include appointment at their present rank, with normal increments and adjust-

ment to the 1966-67 salary schedule, and pension rights. Their duties would consist entirely of teaching duties assigned to them in the normal way by the Head of the department. If and when this settlement is accepted by Professors Murray and Williamson it will be accepted by the staff association. It is based upon the unanimous findings and recommendations of the academic welfare committee of the AASUAE. These findings were the result of a thorough investigation of the circumstances under which the tenure committees met and dealt with these cases. This investigation was limited to the question as to whether proper tenure procedures, including a fair hearing, were followed and did not include a review of the merits of the case.

Basing itself upon the report of the academic welfare committee the executive of the staff association concludes:

1. That none of the present agreed procedures were violated in the composition and functioning of the tenure committees which heard the recommendations regarding Professors Murray and Williamson;

2. That the tenure committees acted responsibly and within their competence;

3. That no direct or indirect political or other improper pressures were involved in these decisions.

However, the executive concurs with the findings of the academic welfare committee:

1. That a violation of procedures occurred in the failure of the dean and the department head to hold a tenure committee hearing for Professor Murray before the termination of the normal probation period; and

2. That although it is not laid down in the present procedures the department head should have given unmistakable advance notice to Professors Murray and Williamson of his intent to recommend termination of their appointments and together with the dean have arranged the tenure committee meetings at an earlier date so as to allow them an adequate period in which to find other positions (this was an important reason for our recommendation to extend their employment).

The present cases have revealed that current tenure procedures are not adequate when they meet the test of difficult cases. As a result the association has established a committee to review all aspects of tenure and recommend appropriate changes in the procedures,

# Think before you vote