ody and its brief his-

difficult to discover. nd it never lost that , in reality it was an ular basis. But that ive and democratic see. They stood for . The Tory section a certain amount of eal standing and as lesigns. Few of the the League in any and allowed the like Allan, Morris ague, subsequently s. The democratic ympathy whatever They desired the tical party in symtwo wings of the uence for the one was indecisive; it ie Leagne.

o able leaders to d the other execunice in the colony; they were inexa minor part in see a commanding ervices which are hn A. Macdonald failed to take the lity and political

popular enthusiuce, the scheme ague chiefly depublic were not itional proposal, significance and sary efforts had ercolonial union, scheme without eter of the sugted as a polititive statesmanship. In truth, it must be confessed that the plan of a federal union was I robably due as much to the desire to forestall the anticipated action of the provincial gov: nment along similar lines, as to a conviction on the part of the convention as to its merits as a truly national policy. The convention was under the immediate necessity of formulating an alternative policy to the popular demand for reciprocity or annexation.

There was at the time a rumor to the effect that the British government was about to propose a plan of union. Earl Grey, Secretary of State for the enlonies, was a well known federationist. The previous year he had brought forth the suggestion of a general assembly for the Australian colonies and it was generally believed in official circles that he favored some similar arrangement for the North American provinces. The action of the provincial government in sending two of the ministers to the Maritime provinces to negotiate a reciprocal trade agreement seemed to confirm the belief that a federal union was on the tapis. Several of the papers of the Maritime provinces lent credence to the report that the question of federation had been officially discussed by the representatives of the several governments, though with what result was not yet known to the public. These rumors were not without influence upon the members of the convention in predisposing them to accept the policy of federation. They hoped to dish their political opponents by a previous declaration in favor of a federal union. But the shrewd plans of the League miscarried nwing to the apathy of the Canadian public and the suspicion of the people of the Maritime provinces who would have nothing to do with the project. The proposal to hold an intercolonial conference was treated with indifference in every quarter and in a few months time the whole scheme of federation was practically forgotten, though Messrs. Perry and Sherwood attempted to revive it some-

The policy of colonial protection did not prove as attractive as had been anticipated. In some of the larger commercial centres it was received with considerable favor but in the rural districts it was generally regarded with suspicion. The farmers of the province were much more interested in the efforts of the government to secure an immediate market for their products in the United States than in the promise held out by the League of the future development of a home market by means of a principle of free trade had shaken the confidence of many of the enlonists in the efficiency of a policy of protection.

The remainder of the platform of the League contained nothing new or distinctive in character. Some of the more important proposals were stolen from their political opponents. The questions of retrenchment and elective institutions were the peculiar tenets of the Clear Grit party and for some time past had occupied a prominent place in its campaign against the extravagance and conservatism of the Government. Notwithstanding its liberal tendencies, the League could scarcely pose as a democratic organization; at least it could not hope to compete with the radical Reformers in an appeal for democratic measures.