e sin of not com-7, 72, 73;

Satanic of remialysis of , 82 ; indifficulty remption erogative 101; de-

on belief moniacal e demodrawal of

ick, 941. the, 253,

death of

id earth-

32; on

istory of, ences to es not reollowers, Clement ged quotus, 417, Schools, Valentig alleged is system ito, 433; leged use to have 433; relospel of s, Ptole-19, 520 ; ntinians.

o fourth

Valentinus, 518, 519, 523, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529.

Vaticanus, Codex, 991, note 1 and 3. Veda considered divinely inspired, 63, 64.

Vettius Epagathus, 516, 518.

Victor of Capua, 484, 524.

Vienne and Lyons, Epistle of, date and circumstances, 515, 516, 636; references to Zacharias, 516, 517, Irenæus bearer of, 522; alleged reference to Fourth Gospel, 636.

Vienne and Lyons, Epistle of, alleged

evidence for Acts, 725.

Vision hypothesis, applied to resurrection of Saints, 989; applied to resurrection of Jesus, 1010; applied to transfiguration, 1024, 1052, 1053; applied to visions of Jesus generally, 1024, 1052 to 1059; applied to vision of Paul, 970, 1030, 1047.

Volcances, openings into Hell, 152; account of Gregory the Govat, 152, note 4.

Volkmar, 15, 28, 34, 37, 41, 42, 48; date of Book of Judith, 205; anthor of Clementines used same Gospel as Justin, 388, note 3; on quotations of Hippolytus, 419; on Marcion's Gospel, 440, 441, 442; author of Dial. de recte in deum fide on Marcion, 442; on procedure of Tertullian against Marcion, 445, 446; arguments a silentio, 445, 446; incompleteness and doubtful trustworthiness of Epiphanius and Tertullian against Marcion, 447, 448; their contradictions, 450; on insufficiency of data for reconstruction of text of Marcion's Gospel, and settlement of the discussion, 450, 451; on passages in Marcion's Gospel, 461, 464, note 1, 468, 469, 472; on Axionicus and Bardesanes, 530, note 2; date of Ptolemeus and Heracleon, 522, 526, 529, 530; on date of Celsus, 535, 537; on language of Canon of Muratori, 541, note 1, 545, 546; on alleged quotation from 1st Epistle of John in Epistle of Polycarp, 561; admits probable use of fourth Gospel by Clementines, 606, note 1.

Vulgate, 390, note 2. Vossian, 25, 30, 51, 52. WEASELS, 144, 152, note 1.

Weizsacker, on Epistle of Barnabas, 219, 220; on quotation in work of Hippolytus ascribed to Valentinus,

Westcott, Canon. 4, 33 to 35, 37 to 42, 52; Miracles inseparable from Christianity, 68; assumption of Personal God, cannot be proved, 104, note 1; to speak of God as Infinite and Personal a contradiction, 107, note 3; on a quotation of Justin's, 281; apologetic criticism, by, 281, note 4; 300, note 2; on coincidence between quotation of Justin and Clementines, 311, note 2; on Justin's quotation from the "Memoirs," 318, 319, 320 to 329; on Apocrypha of Hegesippus, 350, note 1; supposed reference of Hegesippus to Luke, 352; on the uncritical character of the first two centuries, 367, note 1; his silence regarding original language of work attributed to Matthew, 372, note 2; on Clementines, 389, note 1; on supposed quotation from Mark in Clementines, 400, 401; Paul attacked as "the enemy" in Clementines, 406, note 5; on Basilides, 411, 412; statement regarding Glaucius to whom Basilides appealed, 413; his explanation of use of uncanonical works by Basilides, 413, note 4; assertion that Basilides admitted historic truth of Gospels, 415; no reference to N. T. in fragments of Isidorus, 414, 415; alleged quotations of our Gospels by Easilides, 417, 418; uncertainty regarding writings used by Hippolytus, 419; silence regarding doubt whether Hippolytus quotes Basilides, 420; on the formula employed in the supposed quotations, 420; does not refer to quotations of Valentinus alleged by Tischendorf, 425; extraordinary statement regarding Valentinus, 425; alleged references of Valentinus to Matthew, 425, 426; alleged quotation by Valentinus from Gospels in work of Hippolytus, 427, note 11, 428; silence regarding uncertain system of quotation of Hippolytus, 429; does not state facts, 430, 431;