

corner of River Avenue and an intersecting business street within six feet of the street line on condition that he would convey the six feet and a small triangle at the corner to the city. On motion to quash the amending by-law,

Held, that it was not justified by any considerations of public interest or benefit and was unreasonable and discriminatory and should have been quashed if moved against at the proper time by an applicant whose status was unobjectionable. *In re Pellot and Township of Dover*, 10 W.R. 792; *Hamilton Distillery Co. v. City of Hamilton*, 12 O.L.R. 75, and *Attorney-General of Canada v. City of Toronto*, 25 S.C.R. 514, followed. *In re Inglis and City of Toronto*, 9 O.L.R. 562, distinguished.

Held, however, that the motion must be denied, because it had not been made until about ten months after the date of the by-law attacked, during which time Millman had erected and completed his building at a cost of \$80,000.00, and the applicant had been fully cognizant of the work from its inception. *In re Tabor and Township of Scarborough*, 20 U.C.R. 549; *In re Grant and Township of Puslinch*, 27 U.C.R. 154, and *In Re Platt and City of Toronto*, 33 U.C.R. 53, followed.

Whitla and Chondler, for applicant. *T. A. Hunt and Auld*, for City of Winnipeg.

RULES OF COURT.

APPEALS TO PRIVY COUNCIL.

The following announcement respecting appeal books has been sent to us for publication:—

As a result of correspondence between the Registrar of the Privy Council and the Registrar of the Court of Appeal the latter has been advised by the former that he is "prepared to accept appeal books printed in the form suggested, without marginal notes, but with headlines."

The form suggested by the Registrar of the Court of Appeal was "with headlines" instead of with marginal notes. The practice in Ontario has been to insert appropriate headlines, though the rules are silent as to such. Hereafter the practice should be observed in all cases. The Registrar of the Supreme Court having already stated appeal books printed in accordance with Rule 1305 will be accepted by him, and now the Registrar of the Privy Council having expressed a like readiness, provided "headlines" are inserted, all differences are practically removed, and the one set of appeal books, therefore, will suffice, and a substantial saving in the cost of printing be assured.