

you for explanation, but especially for the kind wishes which you express for myself.

"Trusting you may have a happy issue out of the recent troubles,

"I am, faithfully yours,

"ARTHUR TORONTO."

In order, if possible, to find out who had so maliciously perverted my words, I wrote to Dean Grasett on May 26th, and gave him a copy of my letter of April 26th to Archdeacon Sweatman, with the Archdeacon's reply of April 29th, and my letter of the 30th, and concluded my letter to him thus: "I think you will agree, Mr. Dean, that the above is a correct account of what took place between us; and I feel certain that you could not have so perverted my words, or even have repeated what passed between us, to Bishop Sweatman, as you will remember that you did not mention his name at the meeting on the following day. Will you, therefore, be so good as to let me know *to whom you mentioned our conversation, and the remarks that I made in answer to your question.* Perhaps it may help you to recall the matter if I remind you that at the time you asked me the question I was guiding you to Canon Innes' house.

"Awaiting your reply,

"I remain,

"Your obedient servant,

"J. WALKER MARSH."

In reply, I received the following:—

"TORONTO, 29th May, 1879.

"MY DEAR MR. ARCHDEACON,—I had so entirely forgotten your remarks in regard to Bishop Sweatman and his alleged patronage of the *Dominion Churchman* in preference to the *Evangelical Churchman* that, until you repeated it, the matter had passed from my memory. I regret that I am unable to inform you to whom the subject was mentioned, as the recollection of the whole matter and of all persons and things connected with it has completely vanished from my mind.

"I am very sincerely yours,

"H. J. GRASETT."