

Public Service

member has alluded in order to ensure that the decision which may be rendered will be fair to all hon. members, including the Solicitor General.

MR. REYNOLDS—REFERENCE TO ANSWERS TO ORDER PAPER QUESTIONS CONCERNING BRITISH COLUMBIA PENITENTIARY

Mr. John Reynolds (Burnaby-Richmond-Delta): Mr. Speaker, my question of privilege is with regard to some answers which the Solicitor General gave yesterday to questions on the order paper. They relate to the British Columbia penitentiary. Since I spoke to Your Honour, I have had an opportunity to meet with the Solicitor General (Mr. Allmand) and show him copies of correspondence I have from the penitentiary which dispute the answers given to me yesterday. The minister has agreed to hold an administrative inquiry into the issue if I supply him with the documents, which I intend to do. I ask Your Honour to withdraw my question of privilege until the inquiry is completed by the minister's department.

Mr. Speaker: I am sure the hon. member has the Chair's consent to withdraw the question of privilege.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[Translation]

PUBLIC SERVICE

SUGGESTED INTERVENTION OF MINISTER IN DISPUTE WITH VETERINARIANS—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Claude Wagner (Saint-Hyacinthe): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity.

Considering that the 125 Quebec veterinarians are meeting on September 8 in Saint-Hyacinthe to express their dissatisfaction with the Treasury Board which refuses, it seems, to grant them any salary increase, even though their collective agreement has expired last June, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Brome-Missisquoi (Mr. Graftey):

That the President of the Treasury Board intervene personally in this dispute in order to avoid a serious disruption of the meat inspection and animal disease control activities in Quebec following a strike or other similar action on the part of the Quebec veterinarians.

Mr. Speaker: The House has heard the hon. member's motion. Under Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent; therefore, the motion cannot be put.

[Mr. Speaker.]

AIRPORTS

SUGGESTED COMMITTEE STUDY OF DIFFERENCE IN EXPROPRIATION PAYMENTS AT PICKERING AND STE SCHOLASTIQUE—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Gilbert Rondeau (Shefford): Mr. Speaker, under Standing Order 43, I request the unanimous consent of the House to discuss an important and urgent matter.

Considering that the people expropriated in Sainte-Scholastique receive an average of \$200 for 4/5 of an acre compared with \$2,200 an acre given to the people expropriated in Pickering, Ontario, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Champlain (Mr. Matte):

That a special committee of the House be set up to study this enormous difference in the evaluations and the payments for the land in Sainte-Scholastique and that in Pickering, Ontario.

Mr. Speaker: The House has heard the hon. member's motion. Under Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent; therefore, the motion cannot be put.

SUGGESTED COMMITTEE STUDY OF DIFFERENCE IN VALUE OF EXPROPRIATION PAYMENTS BETWEEN STE SCHOLASTIQUE AND PICKERING—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Gilles Caouette (Charlevoix): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to seek the unanimous consent of the House to discuss a matter of pressing and urgent necessity.

In view of the fact that a 10 per cent cost of living bonus is being granted in addition to the \$2,200 per acre paid for land expropriated in Pickering, Ontario, whereas nothing has been granted in Sainte-Scholastique, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Shefford (Mr. Rondeau):

That a special committee of the House be created to study the enormous difference in the assessment of the cost of living bonus between those who are being expropriated in Sainte-Scholastique and those in Pickering.

Mr. Speaker: The House heard the motion of the hon. member. Under Standing Order 43, the motion requires unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: As there is not unanimous consent, the motion of the hon. member cannot be put.