This I will not stand from you or any other man. I have made no statement at 10 Luny time that I did not sincerely believe to letrue. I demand that you retract these spersions on my character and apologise for them, or I shall treat you accordingly. The statement that I asked to be made an honorary member of the N. A. B. K. A. is interly false, and if you have a spark of honor about you. you will publish my in-dignant denial of it.

IS

if

lθ

R•

s.

re

3e

1.

18

эſ

1.

1é '

ig i

R

G

хi

WM. F. CLARKE. Guelph, Aug. 7th, 1895.

We cheerfully publish the above and in coly would say when a man makes stateis ments for which there is no foundation he ^d surely is doing what we said Mr. Clarke 15 was doing, and we regret to say we cannot " to truthful and withdraw our statements. Reas to the honorary membership and the "Frery unkind statements Mr. Clarke has " made about ourselves, although he has been very unkind and judged motives in a froundless and uncharitable way, requiring is good deal of Christian grace to take them It in silence, it would undoubtedly have been design to have taken those personal attacks in Belence and not manifested the spirit we for the moment, did when telling that Mr. is clarke asked for honorary membership of Dene N. A. B. K. A. But this statement we annot now withdraw, much as we may sish that it had not been published. We); 🌉 were told on the best of authority that Mr. it Clarke said in effect that he thought his thing services to bee keeping entitled him to 37 al abnorary membership and he could not 13 well te refused.

We see in to day's (Aug. 13th) Mail and Empire arother letter of Mr. Clarke's in which he states that for which he has no a sounds and which is untrue, he say:-Ir. Pettit and his son-in-law Mr. R. F. Boltermann are the chief promoters of the Rure Honey Bill, as they call it. Mr. Notermann retired from the colums of the ail and Empire after my reply to him, it got his worthy father-in-law and one two others to take up the cudgels against "-Is a man to be allowed to make atements without one ground of truth and not to be accused of falsehood. I never wed Mr Petint to write anything on the

question in the Mail and Empire. Again Mr. Clarke writes in the same letter. "However, he returned to the frav in the CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL which is published in the interests of the agricultural supply business of the Goold, Shapley & Muir Co. of Brantford." If he means by that, that the above firm publish the Bee Journal to boomtheir supply business by undue means (an inference which people would draw), we leave it to the judgment of readers of the CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL. The Journal has been singularly free from such. In a Mail and Empire article, in reply to my letter, Mr. Clarke says he is insulted because I do not give him the title Rev. and my motive in withholding it has heen to take weight from his letter; another unwarranted judgment of motives, yet what has Mr. Clarke said about this matter in past numbers of THE CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL. On page 8, March 1888, he wrote in reply to Doctor Miller: The Dr. says : "Mr. Clarke should remember that additional weight is given, and if right, ought to be given, to what he may say by the title attached to his name." "I entirely dissent from this. I expect and ask no consideration because a stupid custom prefixes "Rev." to my name. I write on agricultural subjects as a bee-keeper-'only that and nothing more.' I wish my views and arguments to be taken for what they are intrinsically worth-no more, no less. Nothing of weight is added to them because of the title conventionally given to members. I give and accept the title under protest. I am no more "reverend" than any other man of my age, intelligent calibre and moral character. It is time we quit calling ministers 'Rev.' especially when we find a man like Dr. Miller calling for reverence for opinions because of that absurd prefix. The whole thing is unmitigated humbug and when it comes to 'Right Reverend.' 'Very Reverend,' and 'Most Reverend in God,' it is enough to make two or three dogs sick."

Readers will see how hard pressed Mr. Clarke is in this matter, and the pity of it is he is injuring through it all the bee keeping industry. ED.]