

## Teach your child internal cleanliness



THE mother who permits constipation in her baby or older child is risking the health, even the life of her little one. It must be remembered that an infant is helpless, unable to tell that constipation is making its life miserable. Consequently the mother must be able to recognize signs of constipation in her baby. Convulsions, night terrors, grinding the teeth in sleep, feverishness, fretfulness and such symptoms—any of these may indicate that poisons from baby's stagnant intestines are flooding the little body.

In older children biliousness, coated tongue, loss of appetite warn the mother that constipation is present. Constipation, unchecked in youth, may lead to serious consequences. In constipation, according to intestinal specialists, lies the primary cause of more than three-quarters of all illness, including the gravest diseases of life.

### Laxatives Only Aggravate Constipation

The mother should not resort to laxatives. A noted authority says that laxatives and cathartics do not overcome constipation but by their continued use tend only to aggravate the condition and often lead to permanent injury.

### Why Physicians Favor Lubrication

Medical science, through knowledge of the intestinal tract gained by X-ray observation, has found in lubrication a means of overcoming constipation. The gentle lubricant, Nujol, penetrates and softens the hard food waste and hastens its passage through and out of the body. Thus Nujol brings internal cleanliness.

### Not a Medicine

Nujol is used in children's and general hospitals and is prescribed by physicians throughout the world. Nujol is not a medicine or laxative and cannot gripe. Like pure water it is harmless.

Let your infant or child have Nujol regularly—and see how cheeks, clear eyes and happiness return once more.

Get rid of constipation and avoid disease by adopting the habit of internal cleanliness. Take Nujol yourself as regularly as you brush your teeth or wash your face. For sale by all druggists.

# Nujol

REG. U.S. PAT. OFF.

For Internal Cleanliness

Sole Agents: J. B. ORR COMPANY, LTD., ST. JOHN'S.



## The Enquiry Conducted by T. Hollis Walker, K.C.

(Continued from page 6.)

Q—Because she had been negligent forwarding her returns.

Q—And instead of doing that she had outside and got the money from some other source?

A—Yes.

COMMISSIONER—I should think either way gave less trouble?

A—Yes. It would probably take half an hour.

COMMISSIONER—Then I do not see that your explanation quite fills the bill. Why should she do the more difficult thing—hardly to avoid a half hour's work which the obviously easy thing would entail. Of course, possibly she preferred the other.

MR. WARREN—You say that Miss Miller was negligent in not sending returns?

A—Yes.

Q—Do you know the state of the Miller and Ruthen Account at your office?

A—Yes.

Q—Did you know what it was like when Miss Miller was in charge? Do you know if they ever had to call on her and Winter for returns?

A—No, I do not know.

Q—Instead of Miss Miller being negligent, is it or is it not a fact that money was not there?

A—The system was to remit monthly.

Q—Do you remit monthly now?

A—Yes.

Q—Did you then.

A—No, the accounts were behind.

Q—At that time were you in arrears in remitting premiums?

A—Yes.

COMMISSIONER—Then possibly for those circumstances the Insurance Company did not feel like dealing with their cheque.

MR. WARREN—The accounts were arrears in May 1920?

A—Yes.

Q—Were the payments in arrears?

A—Yes, they were.

COMMISSIONER—Possibly that is the cheque was not forthcoming as the premium account was not up to date. Is it not possible Miss Miller did not get this cheque for compensation because she said if she asked for it there would be a demand for arrears of sums?

A—It is quite possible that she did think that.

MR. WARREN—Did that ever happen? Did it happen that at the time of the agency was in debt to the Insurance Company?

A—Yes, the Agency was in debt.

Q—So that it was not entirely a matter of negligence on the part of Miss Miller. How is it that you remember distinctly the amount of these two sums of Godden and—

A—You do remember the exact words you when you talked about these things accounts, did you remember?

the exact amount that Miss Miller paid Godden?

A—Yes, I remember that amount.

Q—But you do not remember anything else in detail?

A—Yes, I remember a number of things in detail.

Q—What are they?

A—If you will ask me about them I can tell you.

Q—This morning we were trying to get a definite statement from you about various accounts, but at the time you could not remember. But you remember that the exact amount paid to Godden was \$1135.00.

A—That caused a lot of delay in posting the accounts.

Q—You went in April, and from April to June or July I understand you had nothing to do with the cash?

A—Yes.

Q—Then during those months Miss Miller might or might not have been getting money into the office from outside sources?

A—She might have.

Q—You would not know whether or not?

A—No. After July she came rarely; she came rarely if at all into the office.

Q—Did you ever see her outside the office?

A—Never.

Q—Therefore, if during that time she was obtaining money for Sir Richard Squires, it was being kept secret and apart from the office?

A—I know nothing whatever about it.

Q—Then, if after July, there was anything of that kind it was done without the knowledge of anyone in the office?

A—Yes.

COMMISSIONER—Then possibly for those circumstances the Insurance Company did not feel like dealing with their cheque.

MR. WARREN—The accounts were arrears in May 1920?

A—Yes.

Q—Were the payments in arrears?

A—Yes, they were.

COMMISSIONER—Possibly that is the cheque was not forthcoming as the premium account was not up to date. Is it not possible Miss Miller did not get this cheque for compensation because she said if she asked for it there would be a demand for arrears of sums?

A—It is quite possible that she did think that.

MR. WARREN—Did that ever happen? Did it happen that at the time of the agency was in debt to the Insurance Company?

A—Yes, the Agency was in debt.

Q—So that it was not entirely a matter of negligence on the part of Miss Miller. How is it that you remember distinctly the amount of these two sums of Godden and—

A—You do remember the exact words you when you talked about these things accounts, did you remember?

A—There are no entries after 1921.

Q—What period?

A—Some as late as Nov., which are entries forwarded on the list from Sir Richard Squires' office.

Q—So that account has also got a supplement. It was a 1920 account. But there are no payments that relate to wages?

A—No.

Q—Can you say definitely are you in a position to deny Miss Miller's statement that moneys were paid by way of wages, and that the money came from her. Is there anything in the accounts to disprove that statement?

A—There are records of money payments to the Star but nothing definite stating wages.

MR. WARREN—Might I ask if that sheet is going to be put in?

MR. HOWLEY—I submit that my learned friend is only entitled to see so much as may have reference to these payments made to Miss Miller, and there are a hundred and one other transactions recorded in these books.

COMMISSIONER—The witness has told us that there are no items identified as payments for wages. Therefore, if your objection is good, the Attorney General certainly cannot see any of that document. I think a little candour, with reference to this Daily Star matter would have been acceptable. Now that this account is forthcoming, and I have had an opportunity of looking at it, it conveys very little to me.

MR. HOWLEY—Might I ask do you suggest my client or myself have deliberately been a party to the withholding of any information. I may say that as far as my learned friend is concerned I am quite anxious to put him free before everything under my control that may refer to this enquiry, but that I am only acting within my rights, and that I merely make this remark so that no wrong impression may be made, when I state that a multitude of business transactions that have nothing to do with this enquiry into the Controller's Department appear here, and so far as these or any others do not refer to this transaction do not so refer. I do not wish to show them to my learned friend.

MR. WARREN—Under the circumstances I do not want them.

COMMISSIONER—You must remember that it is inevitable that there is enquiry into things that are recorded in books, that there will be certain items that probably have reference to other matters. If exception is taken because of those items it may be that your opponents are not able to see these books. But it may not be advisable to take up that attitude in this particular case.

MR. HOWLEY—I would go further and say that if there be any item that the Attorney General or anyone else may require and wish to see on the assumption on their part that it is referable to the enquiry, I shall be prepared to let him see it.

COMMISSIONER (addressing MR. WARREN)—What is the question that you wish to put?

MR. WARREN—To know whether there is a debit or credit balance on that account?

WITNESS—It is a debit balance.

Q—How much?

A—By adding up all the items that are made for all the years from 1915 it shows a debit balance of \$124,000.00.

Q—From 1918?

A—From 1917 to 1921.

MR. WARREN—The witness said that this account was made up from a memorandum handed him by Sir Richard Squires. I want to know if

that represented money paid by himself and not through the firm.

WITNESS—I did not state that it had been so made up entirely.

MR. WARREN—The witness stated that this account was made from notes or memoranda that had been sent him from Sir Richard Squires. Well, if this be a memorandum of payments made by Sir Richard Squires does that mean that the amounts were paid by him personally or through the firm?

WITNESS—My cash would be able to give that information. It would show if Sir Richard sent me entries from the Prime Minister's Office. I would make the entry from them. It is possible that he may have asked me to payments on account of the Star.

Q—If Sir Richard Squires sent you a memorandum to enter up, say \$50.00 what would you understand by that? That he had paid \$50.00?

A—Yes.

Q—To what account would you credit that?

A—I made no entry except in the way I mentioned this morning.

Q—So that the books would be just as a matter of record?

Q—And if Sir Richard Squires paid \$50.00 and sent you over a memorandum, it would mean that he had paid \$50.00, and you would not know anything more about it?

A—That would be prior to June 1st.

Q—But you have written it up since.

MR. WARREN—So that all these payments were payments that you knew nothing about?

MR. HOWLEY—When I called Mr. Curtis I undertook to produce him later in case any of my learned friends wished to question him. He is here now if anyone wishes to cross-examine him.

COMMISSIONER—And I think that you would be entitled to ask him any questions in chief. If you wish to ask him any questions, call him next.

MR. HOWLEY—I do not wish to ask him any questions myself, but he is here in case any of my learned friends need him.

MR. WINTER—There is nothing that I wish to ask at the moment.

MR. WARREN—There are some things that I want to ask.

COMMISSIONER—Would you like to ask them now?

MR. WARREN—Yes.

LESLIE R. CURTIS (Re-called).

COMMISSIONER—You are already sworn Mr. Curtis?

A—Yes.

MR. WARREN—When did you go into Sir Richard Squires' office?

A—Early in July 1920.

Q—Were you articled to him?

A—I was articled in 1915. I became a solicitor in August 1920, and practised on my own for three months.

Q—You went there in July?

A—I think it was July.

Q—And you say that you had nothing whatever to do with the books?

A—Absolutely nothing.

Q—When did you become a partner?

A—January last, 1921.

Q—When you became a partner did you have anything to do with the books, did you look at them?

A—I did not look at them. I did not see them.

Q—Have you ever looked at the books since?

A—Casually.

Some time after you went in in July and after you became a partner you telegraphed for Mr. Fraser to come down. Why did you telegraph for Mr. Fraser?

A—At the time that the partnership was entered into I suggested to Sir Richard that it would be well to have an accountant that would be on the job.

Q—Why did you suggest that?

A—Because Miss Miller was never there.

Q—You did not know anything about the books?

A—No.

Q—For all you knew the books were in order?

A—I knew that if I asked for a statement of account I could not get it.

Q—So you did not know something about the books?

A—Only from hearsay.

Q—When was that?

A—About December, 1920 or early in 1921.

Q—When Sir Richard Squires was away?

A—Yes, and after his return.

Q—From the first of January, 1921 you were a partner?

A—Yes.

Q—So you would have authority to enquire into the books, and into Miss Miller's absence?

A—Well, I was a partner only in law.

Q—Did you not consider that you had authority to enquire why any of the officials in the office were very much away?

A—I asked to have Fraser so as to have an office manager.

Q—Miss Miller, in December and January, 1920, was very much away. She was one of the employees of the firm, did you not think that you had sufficient position to enquire into her absence?

A—Except to report it to Sir Richard Squires. I had no authority over Miss Miller, she was the office manager. When I became a partner on January 1st Sir Richard was home.

Q—Then did you report it to him at the earliest opportunity?

A—I did.

Q—I suppose Miss Miller was immediately dismissed?

A—We could not dismiss her until Mr. Fraser came.

Q—And did you do it then.

A—I had no power.

Q—Did you ever ask why she was not?

A—No.

Q—Did she ever say why?

A—No.

Q—Did you ever hear it suggested that she acted in Sir Richard Squires' financial affairs?

A—No.

Q—That is news to you?

A—Her evidence is that she had a good deal to do.

A—I know nothing about that.

COMMISSIONER—That is what she suggests, she would not be very much at the office while that was on, but I was wondering how it was you did not ascertain why she absented herself so much?

A—I did not ask her.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—And you thought it cheaper to get an accountant from the Bank of Nova Scotia at Halifax than ask Miss Miller to come back to her job?

A—I wanted the books properly kept.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—So Miss Miller was not keeping the books properly and you got Mr. Fraser to do it. Do you know if they are properly kept now?

A—Yes.

Q—Now if Miss Miller was so negligent and never came near the office, why was she, in the employ of the firm or any partner of the firm in the year 1921?

A—She was in the firm in the early part of 1921. I think she left the office in July 1921.

Q—She left the office practically in July, 1921. Has she been under salary since then?

A—Not to my knowledge, I signed her last cheque in July.

COMMISSIONER—Is there a record of the salaries paid in that ledger?

A—Yes.

Q—Would you look at it and see the last payment to her. Is it under the name of employees or a sort of weekly wage thing?

A—I expect it would be under office expenses and would state the name of the clerk.

Q—I think she told us she had payments later than that. What is the last you can find?

A—I cannot find it. I expect I will have to ask my accountant. I am not conversant with the books, and this is not the 1921 book but the 1923 book.

COMMISSIONER—If it is not the 1921, it won't give us the information we want.

A—We do not keep all our records in one book.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—In a previous book.

(Continued on page 8.)

## MEN'S OVERCOATS For Winter

Clearing this month at

## Merely Give Away Prices

Consider the prices, and then come and look at the Coats we offer at

**\$7.90 8.90 10.00 EACH**

We have a few left at \$4.95 each, but have not a complete range of sizes.

## MEN'S MACINAWS

Made from heaviest Woollen Macinaw Tweeds, in smart full styles, pleated, belted, with two pockets and in best possible finish. Regular \$17.00 each

**NOW ONLY 12.90 EACH**

The Workman Can Get the Best Value for his Money Here!

## MEN'S BLUE OVERALL COATS AND PANTS

**ONLY 1.35 Per Garment**

## Men's Cotton Tweed Pants

Dark Striped and heavy weight.

**January Sale Price 2.15 EACH**

## Men's Grey Wool Socks

A SPECIAL LINE, AT

**29c PAIR**

## Henry Blair

Don't Say Paper, Say the Evening Telegram.

—By Bud Fisher.

### MUTT AND JEFF

### THE BEST JEFF CAN HOPE FOR IS A FOGGY MORNING.

