such cases, but will leave them over to be tried by the judge holding the assizes.

erjury

sions,

ort of

es re-

jually

na v.

down

rgery

gery,

ınlop,

rjury

C. R.

gery

s by

ıture

the n of it is perhich the Lawertırisd a tute 15 ıdises Γhe $_{
m the}$ ons the the hat ide

The

Since the decisions I have cited from 31 U. C. R., I think it still more likely that the course he suggests will be adopted.

I had intended saying something on the jurisdiction of the Sessions in matters of appeal from magistrates' convictions, but this paper has been drawn out longer than I expected, and I find that all I could say on that subject can readily be found from the authorities in Robinson & Joseph's Digest.

I will conclude by saying that whatever may be the difficulties in reconciling the opinions expressed at different times on the subject, a safe guide to the present jurisdiction of the Sessions may be found in the words I have quoted from the judgment of Chief Justice Wilson in Regina v. Macdonald, 31 U. C. R. 351, supplemented, of course, by whatever limitations may have been made by subsequent statutes.

