BS 1235

.R67

all their cotemporaries"; in other words, it eclipsed all others in exaggerated story-telling.
Yet the lear 3d Delitzsch had no difficulty in accept-

Yet the lear ad Delitzsch had no difficulty in accepting the record, and propounded an explanation, not of the narrative, but how men at the time referred to could live to so great an age. Even the skeptic Buffon (no mean scientist of his time), admitted the truth of the record, and assigned physical causes for such lon; life.

Mr. Gladstone and Genesis.

And what shall we say of Mr. Gladstone and his "Impregnable Rock of Holy Scripture'"! Mr. Jackson ventures to predict that this world never again will witness a duel with such "rusty weapons" as Gladstone, in his "defenceless position," employed in controversy with Huxley. In this connection it is worth noting that one of the great geologists of the world was Prof. Dana. Huxley said: "There is no one to whose authority on geological questions I am more readily disposed to bow than that of my eminent friend, Prof. Dana." But Dana said, "I agree in all essential points with Mr. Gladstone, and believe that the first chapter of Genesis ard science are in accord."

The late Dr. McCaul, Professor of Hebrew in King's College, said, "Moses describes the process of creation as gradual, and mentions the order in which living things appeared—plants, fishes, fowls, land animals, man. By the study of nature geology had arrived independently at the same conclusion. Whence did Moses get all this knowledge? How was it that he worded his rapid sketch with such scientific accuracy?" (Aids to Faith, p. 233.)