Well no, I couldn't say that, Sir, I don't remember them word for word.

Q.

· A.

Can you state for a fact if the Guard Commander's orders were available on the night of 26 Aug? Well, no, Sir.

NO RE-EXAMINATION

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RF 83(B).

-3-

THE PROSECUTOR STETED THAT L/SJT ROSS WHO WAS THE GHARD COMMANDER ON THE NIGHT OF 26-27 AUG WAS NOT AVAILABLE AS A WITNESS AS HE HAD BEEN RETURNED TO CAMADA WHERE HE STILL IS.

THE PROSECUTION IS CLOSED

-DEFENCE-

THE FRUESTORNT READ THE WORDS OF RECORD FORM "D5" TO THE DEFENDING OFFICER MHO STATED TRAT THE ACCUSED WOULD MAKE A STATEMENT NOT UNDER CATE.

STATEMENT BY THE ACCUSED

The accused, A.28506 Gnr Alva Franklin WEAVER, 1 CSRE, makes the following statement not under oath:

On the night of the 26-27 Aug while I was standing guard, it would be somewhere around 2.15 or 2.30 in the morning, I was walking back and forward in front of the Delville Offre Gate. I remember my rifle slung over my right hand shoulder, when I went to change my rifle over to my left shoulder my hand slipped on the sling which brought it around its an arc. I had hold only of the sling and as the rifle was coming sround it went off balance. I I them grabbed the rifle itself with my right hand against my right leg above the knees. I was walking towards the sentry box whilst doing this when the explosion eccurred. I don't know what touched the trigger. After the explosion I went down on the ground as my left leg seemed useless and when I could not get up I called for help. Someone came out of the building who helped me inside the sentry box. Then Mr Carson came slong, gave me first aid, and I was later moved into the kitchen by the both of thes. I did not wilfully injure myself. I had Just came in from the field to CSRU where I had been on guard duties with the 2nd Heavy A. While on guard duty with that unit the instructions were to keep a rifle loaded. It is possible that I loaded my rifle without thinking while on guard duty that night. I did not have anything to drink before could on duty. Shortly around the lst Sep 43 I had planned to get my leave.

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RP 83(8).

ADDRESS TO THE COURT ON THE FINDING BY THE PROSECUTOR

Considering the evidence of the witnesses we had there are 2 definite points and one is that the ammunition was in the rifle which is contrary to Standing Orders, and secondly that he was not carrying the rifle in the right position seconding to Standing Orders. Gar Yeaver was sware of these fasts and from these 2 points it seems to me that he was negligent, thus causing his rifle to be discharged into his left foot.

DEFENDING OFFICER ADDRESSES THE COURT ON THE FINDING

I don't believe there is any evidence in the records to show that our Meaver was carrying his rifle at the slung position, also there has been no evidence brought forth that Gur Meaver had seen the Guard Standing Orders or had thes read to him. Inforence the first charge there is no evidence to say that he wilffilly injured pinaclf and reference the second charge, there is no evidence to show or to prove the word neglect which is an essential part of the second charge, and consequently if fail to see where Gur Meaver is guilty on either charge.