

*Action taken by the authorities of the University on
the foregoing Circular.*

(1) On April 25th, the University Council met, and appointed Judge McDonald, Judge Fralick, R. H. Preston, M.P.P., Dr. Moore, J. J. Bell, Rev. R. J. Laidlaw and Rev. Mr. Cumberland a Committee to consider the whole matter referred to in the above Circular. The following is their report :

“ Your Committee have carefully considered the statement and think that it so fully covers the questions with which it deals that it should be adopted and acted upon by the General Conference to be held to-morrow.

HERBERT S. McDONALD, *Chairman.*

April 26th, 1887.

J. J. BELL, *Secretary.*

(2) On April 26th, the Board of Trustees met and the following resolution was agreed to :—“ After careful consideration the Trustees unanimously and heartily endorse the statement and appeal of Principal Grant, and confidently recommend them to all interested in the welfare of the University. They are glad to hear of the substantial response already initiated. They feel greatly encouraged by the enthusiastic action taken by the citizens of Kingston who have resolved to raise at least \$50,000 as their contribution towards the Endowment, and one of whom has also agreed to build the new Science Hall, which is required irrespective of the School of Applied Science which it is hoped will be established and endowed by the Provincial Government.”

(3) On April 27th, a General Conference of the Trustees, Council, Graduates and Benefactors was held in Convocation Hall, Rev. Dr. Wardrope in the chair. Judge McDonald having submitted all necessary papers, Rev. James Barclay, A.M., Montreal; moved, and Rev. D. J. Macdonnell, B.D., Toronto, seconded the following resolution :—“ That this Conference heartily endorses the statement, appeal and resolutions which have just been read, and resolves that the General Representative Committee of the Queen’s Endowment Association appointed yesterday be a Committee to take immediate and energetic steps to accomplish the proposal set forth therein.” The motion was supported by Judge Fralick, Rev. Dr. Campbell, Dr. Preston, M.P.P., Rev. A. McGillivray and others, and unanimously agreed to.