

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, I will convey the hon. gentleman's feelings to the other provincial premiers.

* * *

[Translation]

WELFARE

POLICY RESPECTING DAY CARE CENTRES—DISCUSSION WITH QUEBEC MINISTER SOCIAL AFFAIRS

Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, I want to ask a question of the Minister of National Health and Welfare.

Can the minister tell this House whether, following the discussions he had with the Quebec Minister of Social Affairs, changes have been brought to the policy of his department concerning day-care centres?

Hon. Marc Lalonde (Minister of National Health and Welfare): No, Mr. Speaker.

* * *

[English]

FINANCE

REQUEST THAT PRIME MINISTER IDENTIFY THE TWO MOST IMPORTANT PROVINCES AGAINST IMPOSITION OF PRICE CONTROLS

Mr. R. Gordon L. Fairweather (Fundy-Royal): Mr. Speaker, I have a question that is supplementary to an earlier series asked various ministers about last week's conference. I address this question to the Prime Minister. In a television account of the proceedings the Prime Minister said, if I may quote him, that "the two most important provinces were against price controls". Will the right hon. gentleman allay my curiosity and identify for the country—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Would the hon. gentleman kindly ask the question directly. I thought we had spent a little time earlier trying to find a way around the difficulties that result from asking questions about statements made outside the House.

Mr. Fairweather: With great respect, Mr. Speaker, part of the difficulty is that it was a supplementary asked at an earlier stage and therefore I have to lay the ground. I am anxious to know which of the two provinces the Prime Minister identified as the most important, and is this part of the new federal formula? Which are the two most important provinces?

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, if the hon. member reads the communiqué he will see that the majority of the provinces expressed their belief that this was not—

An hon. Member: Don't evade the question.

Mr. Fairweather: The television record will show what you said.

Oral Questions

Mr. Trudeau: I agree with the hon. member that I said something along those lines.

Mr. Baldwin: Which are the two, then?

Mr. Trudeau: Two of those that said they were opposed to it.

* * *

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

VIET NAM—POSSIBLE WITHDRAWAL OF CANADIAN PERSONNEL FROM COMMISSION OF CONTROL AND SUPERVISION—REQUEST FOR DEBATE

Right Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker (Prince Albert): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Secretary of State for External Affairs. In view of the fatuous, if not incongruous, contribution that the Canadian contingent to the ICCS finds itself having to discharge by reason of the activities of other members of the commission, has the government yet come to any conclusion regarding the removal of the Canadian force? If so, the government having made a decision and therefore being responsible for what is being done, would consideration now be given before the withdrawal to letting the House of Commons speak on this matter?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (Secretary of State for External Affairs): Mr. Speaker, the government has made a decision and I intend to convey it to the House tomorrow.

Mr. Diefenbaker: Would the minister say what it was?

Mr. Sharp: No.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby.

Mr. Diefenbaker: He won't say what it is. That means they are getting out.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I apologize to the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby, but I believe the right hon. gentleman from Prince Albert is rising for the purpose of asking a supplementary question.

Mr. Diefenbaker: Yes, Mr. Speaker. If the government has made a decision, surely parliament is not going to be bypassed by the announcement being made outside the House, which has happened too often in the past. I ask the minister: Will the announcement be made in this House?

Mr. Sharp: That is what I said, Mr. Speaker. I said that I would convey this decision to the House tomorrow.

* * *

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

POSSIBLE INCLUSION IN LEGISLATION OF FUND RAISERS FOR POLITICAL PARTIES—GOVERNMENT POSITION

Mr. Edward Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby): Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Prime Minister. In view of the recent acknowledgement by Mr. J. P. Ostiguy that he is what is commonly known as a "bagman" for the Liberal