

what to do. Dr. Pyne told me he would like me to be present to hear a conversation between himself and the complainant; there was no particular reason for having me present except he (Sangster) had been formerly a pupil in the Toronto School of Medicine in which I was a lecturer, and that I knew him and his father and that in justice to himself, as much as anything else, he (Dr. Pyne) would like me to be present at the interview. The Registrar produced the papers and answers, and presented them to Sangster, and he acknowledged them to be his answers. We discussed the matter very fully and freely with him, and pointed out wherein he had failed; and in fact in one case he had given an unusual dose of a very active medicine; and we showed him the unreasonableness of his complaints; this was altogether a gratuitous thing on behalf of Dr. Pyne. I maintain that this applicant had no right to come and demand a reconsideration from him, but out of his usual good nature, and that there might not be any, even pretence of an unjust act he was listened to; and we went over this matter very carefully and thoroughly; and I think if ever a man deserved to be rejected, Mr. Sangster stands pretty well among that rejected class. And that his statements are just intended to annoy and interfere with the success of the Council more than anything else. He seems to be a chip of the old block, and equal to him in many respects. There seems to be some crookedness, if I may use the word, or as if things were all going the wrong way, and he is determined to oppose. I can only say that I fully concurred in the decision of the examination. He seemed determined not to be satisfied. He was determined to have a grievance, although it could be pointed out to him he had nothing to stand on, for not only were his answers incorrect, but if carried into action, they would be highly dangerous. He not only did not know the questions or how to answer them, but when he attempted to do so he fell into most egregious errors, and he well deserved to be passed by.

Dr. PYNE—I just want to make a few remarks. This candidate wrote to me and said he had been unfairly and unjustly treated, as Dr. Thorburn has just told you. He said: "Why can't I see my papers? I think you have given some other candidates papers for mine, and there has been a mis-

take, and I would like to see them." To satisfy him, and as I knew there was nothing of the kind had happened, I replied: "You can see the papers to show you there has been no mistake." And I asked Dr. Thorburn to be present, as he was the representative of the Toronto School of Medicine at which this gentleman studied. Sangster identified each paper as his, and read it over. In the subject of anatomy he had made twenty per cent. on the oral; he made fifty-five on the written. On that paper, he complains the examiner made changes. There was a change made, but by that change he was benefitted. In regard to the physiology paper, he says he is prepared to make oath he answered every question while on the oral examination; the examiner only awards him ten out of one hundred. On the materia medica paper—on which he claims he did not make a mistake—the examiner gave him forty-five marks, and then looked over the paper again evidently, and says, "minus 4=41: he gives two to five grains of, cocaine for a dose—very poor paper:" this is the examiner's own writing. He identified these papers as his—and the whole system of examination was explained to Mr. Sangster, showing him that it was impossible for the examiners to know his papers, as they were all under a number, and a number was used instead of candidate's name, at the oral examination.

I made a memorandum of the interview, referred to by Dr. Thorburn; it was on Saturday, July 9th, at 2.30 p.m.

"J. Thorburn met me this day in my office, as did also J. A. Sangster, who appealed from examiner's decision. His papers were shown him, and his marks; and he appeared satisfied that everything was all correct. Dr. Thorburn and myself asked him questions, and explained to Mr. J. A. Sangster any matter he desired to know in connection with the examinations, after which Mr. Sangster withdrew. Correct."

(Signed) J. THORBURN.

R. A. PYNE.

Dr. ROGERS—Does he write this long letter since?

Dr. PYNE—Yes.

Report of the Board of Examiners referred to Education Committee.