bounds during the past few weeks. Mr. MacMillan took a wide view of his subject, showing how athletics influence the college man morally and spiritually, as well as physically.

The subject of discussion was then announced by the President: "Resolved, that the sessional examinations of the colleges of our Church should be uniform."

This subject proved to be one of interest to all present, judging from the large attendance, and the hearty response from several speakers.

Mr. D. M. McLeod, B.A., led the affirmative, opening the discussion by a definition of the subject. He maintained that examinations should be uniform. All students are working for the same Church, with the same end in view, therefore they should be on the same footing. If sessional examinations were the same, it could not be said that one college was better than another. Moreover, it would remove the necessity of holding either license or ordination examinations. The result would be beneficial to the students, because they would be brought more in touch with one another. Unity would be established among the colleges, and this would bring about a greater love for our Alma Mater.

Mr. Knowles opened the negative side of this question, and endeavored to answer the arguments advanced by his opponent. He thought a uniform examination would be impracticable, because all students could not write on the same day, as our colleges close at different times of the year. It is hardly possible that a representative board could be established which would agree on theological questions. It would be detrimental to independent thinking among the students. Colleges could not be placed on the same footing, as we have no common text-book. If uniform examinations were established, the professors of our colleges would be unable to impress their individuality upon their students.

Several speakers took part in the discusson, after which Mr. Inglis gave his criticism.

The Society met again on Friday evening, February 17th. The President was in the chair.