ee-

tho

s to

to

50

s in

t I

ave

re-

1e."

the

the

the

oubt

n it.

and

t the

reck-

ably

alst

tha

r di

18

There is one advantage about a winter flow that the competition is less as wild bees have closed their establishments.

Hoping that you will have a good season, believe me.

Yours faithfully. A. C. SEWELL.

Prevention of Increase During Natural Swarming.

By C. P. Dadant.

The prevention of increase by returning swarms to the parent colony shortly after swarming was tried by me accidentally on a large scale a number of years ago-I believe it was in the years 1877-78. It happened in the following manner:

We had at that time made arrangements with an apiarist some 14 miles rom us to furnish him hives for his warms and take care of his bees at he same time, taking one-half of the oney and of the increase for our av. He was to harvest the swarms eedingly favorable, and we were towded with work. rowded with work. The number swarms were greater than we had nticipated, and our man found him-If short of hives for two or three sys. When I arrived at his apiary ith a load of some 15 or 20 hives, had been hiving his swarms in all rts of boxes, nail-kegs, etc. Upon y arrival at his apiary I at once ent to work to transfer, to the new nide ves that I had brought, all the arms that had issued within the st two days, as they had but little mb built. But each of them had veral pieces of comb with eggs in int em, in almost every instance. They re so ill-pleased with my uncereer, nious transfer into freshly painted pty hives that every swarm left se new hives and returned to the ent hive from which it had come

forth a day or two previously.

Subsequently we ascertained that none of those colonies swarmed again that season. I thought that I had made a discovery, and used this method repeatedly afterwards with very frequent success, but I later found that my original discovery had been put into practice years ago in Europe, by the box-hive bee-keepers.

In his Cours D'Apiculture, the first edition of which was published in the 50's, Hamet, the well-known champion of the box and eke hives in Paris, describes his method of returning the swarm to its parent colony. This method he uses for all seconday swarms, and he considers it necessary in order to secure strong colonies, or rather to prevent the "swarming to death" that weakens the parent colonies and furnishes worthless swarms. His method is to hive the swarm as usual in a plain box, and on the evening of the following day return this swarm to the hive from whence it issued, by shaking it in front of that hive.

His explanation of what happens is that by the end of the second day the bees have usually destroyed all queen-cells and have kept but one young queen When the swarm is returned thus unexpectedly, the two queens come together and have a duel, in which one of them is killed. It would perhaps serve the purpose still better if the queen of the swarm that is returned was killed by the apiarist at the time when the swarm is returned.

As I said before, Hamet used this method only upon secondary swarms. It is quite likely that he had never tried it on primary swarms, for the reason that natural swarming was considered by him as the best method of increase, but my accidental trial and further experiments have con-