Mr. R. J. Mackie, Oshawa: "As a result of the spraying in my orchard I found that where the spraying was done nearly all the apples were packed and of No. I quality; and where it was not done only about half the apples were packed, and many of these were spotted. I have purchased the spray pump, and intend using it on all my orchard next year. It would have been worth $200 to me this year if I had done so. I would recommend carrying on the work."

Mr. E. M. Mitchell, Port Hope: "In replying to your circular of the 3rd, regarding the spraying experiments, I am unable to make a definite statement as to the effect on each tree, but taken by sorts it seems to be about as follows. The sprayed Early Harvests contained about 50 per cent. of good fruit, that is, A 1 fruit, while the unsprayed fruit had not more than 20 per cent. of A 1. Northern Spy sprayed were about 90 per cent clean, good apples, while those not sprayed had scarcely any absolutely clean, and not more than 60 per cent that would be called merchantable. Snow apples, for some reason or other, showed no benefit whatever from the spraying; in fact, the sprayed tree was worse spotted than the one unsprayed. The above statements refer more particularly to the effects of the spraying on the scab, to which all apples in this section were very subject this season. As to the effect of spraying on the Codling worm, the difference, if any, between the sprayed and the unsprayed was very slight. We were totally free from this pest here this season. Had it been more prevalent perhaps the benefits of spraying would have been more marked. As most of the damage is done by the second brood of the Codling Moth, which is hatched after spraying time, it would seem there needs to be a modification of the system to be effectual. Persistent spraying, year after year, by thinning out the first brood, would no doubt reduce the number of moths. As to Bud Moth and the Cigar Case-bearer, we have not much experience with them yet, so cannot say as to the effect spraying would have on them."

Mr. John Nesbitt, Brighton: "Your telegram this morning received. I would have replied sooner, but have been away and have just got home. I found the spraying very beneficial, but cannot give average as they were picked before Mr. Orr got here. I noticed it more particularly on the Snows, as the tree of Snows, which was sprayed, was large and nearly clean of spots, while the others were small and spotted very much, and I found it very much the same on my Autumn Strawberries, but there was not so much difference. I find that fruit growers will have to spray if they want to raise good, sound fruit."

Mr. W. A. Warner, Trenton: "Replying to your favor of the 3rd inst., I beg to state that I am more than satisfied with the result of spraying at my orchard last spring. The packers say that the fruit obtained from my trees which were sprayed this year was the finest they had packed, especially the Spys, Snows, Wealthys and Baldwins. I am satisfied that spraying is a first-class thing, and would like to see it done in this section again next year. I intend to spray everything in my orchard next year. I have 2,500 trees. I am satisfied if I had sprayed my whole orchard this year I would have obtained $500 more than I did."

Mr. Joseph L. Adams, Consecon: "In answer to your letter I think I can truly say the sprayed apples were fully 70 or 75 per cent. better than the unsprayed, and I also think it was beneficial to the trees."

Mr. Geo. Bowyer, Prescott: "My orchard has never been sprayed before. The fruit on the sprayed trees is larger and the foliage is much better than on the unsprayed trees. Not much scab in the orchard except on Snows. By actual count 96 per cent. of sprayed Snows and all of the others showed scab. I would recommend spraying. I intend to spray my orchard after this. My apples were the only clean apples in our section."