considerations of a like kind, or can make the necessary representations to the Governor-General in Council when it thinks right so to treat any question that may be put. And the Parliament of Canada can control he action of the Executive.

Yet the argument, that to put questions is ultra vires, must be the same whether the power is rightly or wrongly used. If you say that it is intra vires to put some kinds of question, but ultra vires to put other kinds of question, then you will have to draw the line between what may be asked and what may uot. That must depend upon what it is judicious or wise to ask, and can in no sense rest upon considerations of law. What in substance their Lordships are asked to do is to say that the Canadian Parliament ought not to pass laws like this because it may be embarrassing and onerous to a Court, and to declare this law invalid because it ought not to have been passed.

Their Lordships would be departing from their legitimate province if they entertained the arguments of the Appellants. They would really be pronouncing upon the policy of the Canadian Parliament, which is exclusively the business of the Canadian people, and is no concern of this Board. It is sufficient to point out the mischief and inconvenience which might arise from an indiscriminate and injudicious use of the Act, and leave it to the consideration of those who alone are lawfully and constitutionally entitled to decide upon such a matter.

Their Lordships will therefore humbly advise His Majesty that this Appeal ought to be dismissed.