FIRST WITNESS FOR PROSECUTION (CONT'D)

- Q.30 Is that a surmise or do you know?
- A.30 Well, it is not a new book.
- Q.31 Why is it dated the 10th of July 48?
- A.31 Date of issue, Sir.

WITH THE PERMISSION OF THE COURT, THE WITNESS IS QUESTIONED BY THE DEFENDING OFFICER:

- Corporal MacMillan, would you say that the 800 was made Q.32 before August?
- I would say that by the condition of the book, Sir.
- And on the 20th of October you reported that the accused A.32 Q.33 is short one pack?
- That is right, Sir. A.33
- Q.34 Deficient one pack?
- A.34 Yes, Sir.
- Q.35 Would you explain how the accused became deficient one pack after August when a Court of Inquiry was held and it wasn't shown then?
- A.35 I can't explain that, Sir.

- - IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT AND THE JUDGE ADVOCATE, IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RP 83(B).

THE WITNESS WITHDRAWS.

Prosecutor: I have no more witnesses Sir for the Prosecution.