

100,000 to Loan

W. Williams & Co., 26 Victoria St.

SEVENTY-SIXTH YEAR

CONFIDENT

FRANCE

FOR SPECIAL POSITION

Expect to Have United Support of Leading Mediterranean Powers in Conference Soon to Begin - War Talk Subsides.

Paris, Jan. 7.—Public uneasiness over the Moroccan conference has undergone a distinct improvement. This is due mainly to the confident attitude of the officials of the foreign office, who consider the selection by the Italian government of the Marquis Visconti Venosta to head its delegation as strengthening the position of France.

Therefore, Italy's choice of the Marquis Visconti Venosta, who was one of the chief critics of the Italian government's expectations of the united support of the leading Mediterranean powers.

Will Stand Firm. Now that the French delegates are prepared to start for Algiers there is evidence of the French position, the essential feature of which is that France will not give up her position in Morocco.

Expectations. If the French position is upheld, the conference is expected to result in a settlement of the Moroccan question.

BRITAIN FOR FRANCE. London, Jan. 6.—According to official information, the British government is not only demanding that the powers shall participate in the execution of reforms in Morocco, but that the work of watching the troubling forces shall be divided among them.

ITALY FAVORS FRANCE. Home, Jan. 6.—Interest here in the conference is being revived by the growing realization that Italy will assume the leadership of the Italian delegation.

REVOLUTION IN ECUADOR. President Declares Republic to Be in State of War.

Guayaquil, Ecuador, Jan. 7.—A body of reservists, attached to the police station, was given the afternoon.

KING EDWARD WILL SEE OLYMPIAN GAMES. London, Jan. 6.—A telegram to a news agency from Athens, says: It is announced that King Edward will come to Athens in the spring to attend the Olympian games.

TO-DAY IN TORONTO. City council inaugural, 11 a.m.

W. Harper, Customs Broker, 7 Melinda

# The Toronto Star

EIGHT PAGES—MONDAY JANUARY 8 1906—EIGHT PAGES

BIG GAME.



GUIDE WHITNEY (to Old Man of Ontario): Don't you think it's worth following up?

## PLUMBER REEVES IS GONE CREDITORS LEFT BEHIND

Man Who Started All the Trouble Said to Have Taken His Departure for Buffalo on Thursday Last.

J. B. Reeves, the East Queen-street plumber, who kicked over the traces and precipitated the inquiry which led to the undoing of the Plumbers' Association, was sought for by a World man last night.

At the Poplar House, where he and his wife have been staying, it was stated that Reeves had packed his trunk and had left for Buffalo on Thursday last.

It is supposed that he met death by a shock of electricity while engaged removing copper wire from the poles.

SHOCK KILLS WIRE THIEF. Found Dead Under Electric Light Pole in Montreal Park.

Montreal, Jan. 7.—(Special)—The dead body of N. B. Lamache was found to-night under an electric light pole in Lafontaine Park.

SEE A JIM HILL SCHEME TO ENTER SASKATCHEWAN

Application for Charter for Canadian Central Railway Said to Convey Every Indication of Magnate's Invasion.

Regina, Jan. 7.—(Special)—It is stated on the best of authority that James J. Hill is behind the Canadian Central Railway Co., a new company which will seek incorporation at the approaching session of the Saskatchewan legislature.

TO-DAY IN TORONTO. City council inaugural, 11 a.m.

W. Harper, Customs Broker, 7 Melinda

## LIQUOR MEN TELL HIM GO MAYOR SAYS HE'LL OBEY

Had Ordered Saloons to Close, But Will Serve Time in Mexico.

St. Cloud, Minn., Jan. 6.—The saloon men of this city held a secret meeting to-day, and decided to issue an ultimatum to the mayor to leave the city within twenty-four hours.

On his refusal to do so, the committee advised him to go to Mexico, where he had extensive interests, and attend to his business affairs there.

TO-DAY IN TORONTO. City council inaugural, 11 a.m.

W. Harper, Customs Broker, 7 Melinda

## "Maltese Cross" Rubbers.

ONE CENT

COMMITTEE TO MAKE

USES VERY HARSH TERMS IN ARRANGING BALFOUR

Campbell-Bannerman's Address Savage Attack on Late Government's Policies.

London, Jan. 7.—The election address of Sir Henry Campbell-Bannerman is wholly devoted to a severe indictment of the late government's record and its policy on protection and to referring the electors to his public declaration on assuming office for an opposition of his government's intended policy.

The premier declares that the last decade presents a well-nigh unbroken expanse of mismanagement and legislation conducted for the benefit of privileged classes, of wars and adventures abroad hastily embarked upon without regard for the welfare of the empire.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.

He characterizes protection as an immoral and oppressive, based, as he says it must be, on the exploitation of the community in the interest of favored trades and financial groups, and declares the policy of the late government will be held fast to the three-hundred principles of Liberalism—peace, economic self-government and civil and religious liberty, and to pursue a substantial continuity of foreign policy.

He declines to regard Mr. Balfour's free trade tenets as having more than a nominal place in the estimation of a majority of the Unionists, whose ideal reform policy he holds is fraught with incalculable mischief to the nation and the empire.